arXiv:1001.2033v1 [math.SP] 12 Jan 2010

RELATIVE DETERMINANTS OF LAPLACIANS ON SURFACES WITH ASYMPTOTICALLY CUSP ENDS

CLARA L. ALDANA

Abstract. We consider relative determinants of Laplace operators on surfaces with asymptotically cusp ends. We consider a surface with cusps (M, g) and a metric h on the surface that is a conformal transformation of the initial metric g. We prove the existence of the relative determinant of the pair (Δ_h, Δ_g) and other related pairs of operators. We focus on the decay conditions of the conformal factor at infinity that make it possible to define the relative determinant.

Following a paper of B. Osgood, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak about extremal of determinants for compact surfaces, we prove Polyakov's formula for the relative determinant and discuss the extremal problem inside a conformal class. We discuss necessary conditions for the existence of a maximizer.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Notation and some definitions 4
1.1. Relative determinants 4
1.2. Surfaces with cusps 5
1.3. Spectral theory of surfaces with cusps 6
1.4. Conformal transformations 7
1.5. Injectivity radius 8
1.6. Heat kernels and their estimates 8
1.7. Heat kernels of other operators 10
1.8. Duhamel's Principle 11
1.9. Gauss-Bonnet formula 12
2. Trace class property of relative heat operators 12
2.1. Trace class property for relative heat operators of conformal transformations 12
2.2. Relative trace of other heat operators 19
3. Expansion of relative heat traces for small time 21
3.1. Expansion of the trace of $T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}$ 21
3.2. Expansion for other relative heat traces. 33
4. Relative determinant on surfaces with asymptotically cusp ends 33
5. Polyakov's formula for the relative determinant. Extremals 35
5.1. Polyakov's formula 35
5.2. Extremal properties of the relative determinant 42

6. Appendix 43
References 46

Introduction

In this paper we study the relative determinant of the Laplace operator on surfaces with asymptotically cusp ends. A surface with asymptotically cusp ends is defined in Section 1.4.

Regularized determinants of elliptic operators play an important role in many fields of mathematics and mathematical physics. They were initially introduced by D. B. Ray and M. I. Singer in [29] in relation to R-torsion. For Laplacians on closed surfaces the regularized determinant is defined via a zeta function regularization process. The regularized determinant of the Laplacian on a compact Riemannian manifold is an important spectral invariant. For instance, in the 2-dimensional case, B. Osgood, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak (to whom we refer as OPS from now on) in [25] showed that the determinant, considered as a functional on the space of metrics, has very interesting extremal properties. They prove the following result: let M be a closed surface of genus p. Then in a given conformal class, among all metrics of unit area, there exists a unique metric of constant curvature at which the regularized determinant attains a maximum. They also proved a corresponding statement for compact surfaces with boundary and suitable conditions at the boundary.

Relative determinants were introduced by W. Müller in [23] as a way to generalize regularized determinants from the compact to the non-compact setting. A good example of a non-compact space is a surface with cusps. A surface with cusps is a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) of finite area such that outside a compact set the metric is hyperbolic. The hyperbolic ends are called cusps. The Laplace operator Δ_g associated to the metric g on M has continuous spectrum. Therefore its zeta regularized determinant can not be defined as in the compact case. Here is when relative determinants enter into the play. The relative determinant is defined for a pair of non-negative self-adjoint operators (A, B) in a Hilbert space, when they satisfy certain conditions. It is defined through a zeta function using the trace of the relative heat semi groups $\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tA} - e^{-tB})$, $t > 0$.

For surfaces with cusps in [23] W. Müller proved that the relative determinant of the Laplacian is well defined when compared with a model operator defined on the cusps. In this paper we extend this result to surfaces with asymptotically cusp ends. We also prove a Polyakov's formula for the case when the determinant is well defined. The analysis of the extremal of the determinant in this case follows in the same way as in OPS, [25]. Unfortunately, the maximizer (the metric of constant curvature) is not always among the class of metrics for which we can define the relative determinant.

Now, I will describe how the organization of this paper:

We start by fixing a class of metrics on M that are conformal to g and that satisfy suitable conditions. Let $h = e^{2\varphi}g$ be a metric the conformal class of g, if the cusps are "kept" but the metric h is not hyperbolic on them, then we say that (M, h) is a surface with asymptotically cusp ends. Associated to the metric h, there is a Laplacian which we denote by Δ_h. We will consider relative determinants of pairs (Δ_h, Δ_g) and also of pairs $(\Delta_h, \bar{\Delta}_{1,0})$, where $\bar{\Delta}_{1,0}$ is a model operator over M that is associated to the cusps.

In section 1 we introduce all the notation and background theory that we need throughout the paper. In section 2 we prove the trace class property of the relative heat operator for all positive values of t, when the conformal factor φ as well as its derivatives up to second order have a decay as $O(y^{-\alpha})$, $\alpha > 0$ as y goes to infinity; here we are using coordinates (y, x) in the cusps $Z = [1, \infty) \times S^1$.

In section 3, I prove the existence of an expansion of the relative heat trace for small values of t: There exists an expansion up to order ν of the relative heat trace for small values of t, if the function $\varphi(y, x)$ and its derivatives up to second order are $O(y^{-k})$ as y goes to infinity, with $k \geq 9\nu + 14$. The proof of this result is very technical but uses mainly classical methods such as parametrices, Duhamel's principle, upper bounds of heat kernels, universal coverings and very particular inequalities. The idea of the proof is that the relative heat trace can be written as an integral over the manifold. We split this integral into three areas of integration: the compact part, a cutoff of the cusps and the end of the cusps. For the first two integrals I proved the existence of a complete asymptotic expansion for small values of t. But I failed to prove this existence for the integral over the end of the cusps, where I could only estimate the trace by a term t^{ν}, $\nu > 0$, using the trace norm of an auxiliary operator. The order $k \geq 9\nu + 14$ in the decay condition of the conformal factor comes from this bound.

In section 4, we use the previous results to define the relative determinants $\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_g)$ and $\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})$ using relative zeta functions. In spite of not having an optimal result in section 3, the result is good enough to have a well-defined relative determinant for a pair of metrics (g, h) satisfying the conditions above.

In section 5 we study $\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})$ as a functional on the space of metrics of a given fixed area inside the conformal class and look for its extremal values. We give a proof of a Polyakov-type formula for $\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})$. The proof of this formula follows the same lines as the proof of OPS in the compact case in [25]. The formula is the same as the one obtained by R. Lundelius in [17] for heights of pairs of admissible surfaces. Let us point out however that our methods are different from the ones in [17]. As in [25] and [17], we see that if there exists a maximum it is attained at the metric of constant curvature. The equation relating the curvature of the metrics g and $h = e^{2\varphi}g$ is $K_h = e^{-2\varphi}(\Delta_g\varphi + K_g)$. The study of the asssociated differential equation for φ together with the conditions of constant curvature in the cusps for g and constant curvature everywhere for h leads to a precise decay for the function φ at infinity. Unfortunately this decay is not included in the conditions required to define the determinant. Therefore the metric of constant curvature will not be in the conformal class that we consider unless we start with a metric of constant curvature.

In relation with this problem, there is a recent work of P. Albin, F. Rochon and the author, [1]. We worked with renormalized integrals to define renormalized determinants of Laplacians on surfaces that have asymptotically hyperbolic ends, cusps as well as funnels. Funnels involve infinite area. We have a well definition of the determinant of the Laplacian for totally geodesic metrics with asymptotic hyperbolic ends. However, this definition makes use of the asymptotic expansion of the trace of the heat kernel of fibred cusps metrics in the work of B. Vaillant, [33]. We prove existence of Ricci flow on these surfaces to prove that in a given conformal class and under suitable conditions, the maximum of the renormalized determinant exists.

Acknowledgements. *This paper is based on my doctoral thesis. I thank my supervisor Prof. Dr. W. Müller for his continuous guidance through this project. I am also grateful to Prof. R. Mazzeo for helpful discussions and to Prof. S. Paycha and Dr. E. Hunsicker for all their comments on the thesis. I thank the Mathematical Institute at the University of Bonn for hosting me during my graduate studies.*

1. NOTATION AND SOME DEFINITIONS

1.1. **Relative determinants.** Relative determinants were defined by W. Müller in [23]. Let us recall their definition: The relative determinant is defined for two self-adjoint, nonnegative linear operators, H_1 and H_0, in a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the following assumptions:

1. For each $t > 0$, $e^{-tH_1} - e^{-tH_0}$ is a trace class operator.
2. As $t \to 0$, there is an asymptotic expansion of the relative trace of the form:
$$\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-tH_1} - e^{-tH_0}) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{k(j)} a_{jk} t^{\alpha_j} \log^k t,$$
where $-\infty < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots$ and $\alpha_k \to \infty$. Moreover, if $\alpha_j = 0$ we assume that $a_{jk} = 0$ for $k > 0$.
3. $\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-tH_1} - e^{-tH_0}) = h + O(e^{-ct})$, as $t \to \infty$, where $h = \dim \operatorname{Ker} H_1 - \dim \operatorname{Ker} H_0$.

These properties allow us to define the relative zeta function as:

$$\zeta(s; H_1, H_0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} (\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-tH_1} - e^{-tH_0}) - h) t^{s-1} dt.$$

The relative determinant is then defined as:

$$\det(H_1, H_0) := e^{-\zeta'(0;H_1,H_0)}.$$

In a more general setting, condition 3) is replaced by an asymptotic expansion as $t \to \infty$. Then, in order to define the relative zeta function, the integral has to be split in two parts, see [23].

1.2. **Surfaces with cusps.** A surface with cusps (swc) is a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold that is complete, non-compact, has finite volume and is hyperbolic in the complement of a compact set. It admits a decomposition of the form

$$M = M_0 \cup Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m,$$

where M_0 is a compact surface with smooth boundary and for each $i = 1, ..., m$ we assume that

$$Z_i \cong [a_i, \infty) \times S^1, \quad g|_{Z_i} = y_i^{-2}(dy_i^2 + dx_i^2), \quad a_i > 0$$

The subsets Z_i are called cusps. Sometimes we denote Z_i by Z_{a_i} to indicate the "starting point" a_i. Instances of surfaces with cusps are quotients of the form $\Gamma(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}$, where $\mathbb{H}$ is the upper half plane and $\Gamma(N) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a congruence subgroup, i.e. $\Gamma(N) = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) | \gamma \equiv \mathrm{Id} \pmod{N}\}$. These quotients play an important role in the theory of automorphic forms.

To any surface with cusps (M, g) we can associate a compact surface $\overline{M}$ such that (M, g) is diffeomorphic to the complement of m points in $\overline{M}$. Let p denote the genus of the compact surface $\overline{M}$; then the pair (p, m) is called the conformal type of M.

For any oriented Riemannian manifold (M, g) the Laplace-Beltrami operator on functions is defined as $\Delta f = -\operatorname{div}\operatorname{grad} f$. It is equal to $\Delta = d^*d$. We consider positive Laplacians. If (M, g) is complete, Δ has a unique closed extension that we denote by Δ_g.

On a cusp Z, the Laplacian is given by

$$\Delta_Z = -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right).$$

Let us consider the following operators:

Definition 1.1. *Let $a > 0$, let $\Delta_{a,0}$ denote the self-adjoint extension of the operator*

$$-y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} : C_c^\infty((a, \infty)) \to L^2([a, \infty), y^{-2} dy)$$

obtained after imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions at $y = a$. The domain of $\Delta_{a,0}$ is then given by $\mathrm{Dom}(\Delta_{a,0}) = H_0^1([a, \infty)) \cap H^2([a, \infty))$, where $H_0^1([a, \infty)) = \{f \in H^1([a, \infty)) : f(a) = 0\}$.

Let $\bar{\Delta}_{a,0} = \oplus_{j=1}^m \Delta_{a_j,0}$ be defined as the direct sum of the self-adjoint operators operators $\Delta_{a_j,0}$ defined above. The operator $\bar{\Delta}_{a,0}$ acts on a subspace of $\oplus_{j=1}^m L^2([a_j, \infty), y_j^{-2} dy_j)$.

Now, let $a > 0$, let Z_a be endowed with the hyperbolic metric g and let $\Delta_{Z_a,D}$ be the self-adjoint extension of

$$-y^2\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) : C_c^\infty((a,\infty)\times S^1) \to L^2(Z_a, dA_g)$$

obtained after imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions at $\{a\}\times S^1$. It is known that the operator $\Delta_{Z_a,D}$ can be decomposed as follows: Put

$$L_0^2(Z_a) = \{f \in L^2(Z_a, dA_g) | \int_{S^1} f(y,x)dx = 0 \text{ for a. e. } y \geq a\}. \tag{1.1}$$

The orthogonal complement of $L_0^2(Z_a)$ in $L^2(Z_a, dA_g)$ consists of functions that are independent of $x \in S^1$.

Then we can decompose $L^2(Z_a, dA_g)$ as the orthogonal direct sum

$$L^2(Z_a, dA_g) = L^2([a,\infty), y^{-2}dy) \oplus L_0^2(Z_a).$$

This decomposition is invariant under $\Delta_{Z_a,D}$ so in terms of this decomposition we can write $\Delta_{Z_a,D} = \Delta_{a,0} \oplus \Delta_{Z_a,1}$, where $\Delta_{Z_a,1}$ acts on $L_0^2(Z_a)$.

Remark 1.2. *The operator $\Delta_{Z_a,1}$ has compact resolvent; in particular it has only point spectrum, see Lemma 7.3 in* [24]. *In addition, the counting function for $\Delta_{Z_a,1}$, $N_{\Delta_{Z_a,1}}(\lambda) = \#\{\tilde{\lambda}_j \leq \lambda\}$, where $\{\tilde{\lambda}_j\}$ are the eigenvalues of $\Delta_{Z_a,1}$, satisfies $N_{\Delta_{Z_a,1}}(\lambda) \sim \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}A_g$. See* [11, Thm.6]. *This implies that the heat operator $e^{-t\Delta_{Z_a,1}}$ is trace class.*

1.3. **Spectral theory of surfaces with cusps.**

For the spectral theory of manifolds with cusps we refer the reader to W. Müller [21], Y. Colin de Verdière [11], and the references therein. The results in [21] hold for any dimension. For surfaces in particular we refer to [22]. Here we only recall the main facts and definitions that we use in this document.

For a surface with cusps (M, g), the spectrum of the Laplacian $\sigma(\Delta_g)$ is the union of the point spectrum σ_p and the continuous spectrum σ_c. The point spectrum consist of a sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$$

Each eigenvalue has finite multiplicity, and the counting function $N(\Lambda) = \#\{\lambda_j | \lambda_j \leq \Lambda^2\}$ for $\Lambda > 0$ satisfies $\limsup N(\Lambda)\Lambda^{-2} \leq A_g(4\pi)^{-1}$, where A_g denotes the area of (M, g). Depending on the metric, the set of eigenvalues may be infinite or not.

The continuous spectrum σ_c of Δ_g is the interval $[\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$ with multiplicity equal to the number of cusps of M. For a proof of this fact, see for example [21, p.206]. The spectral decomposition of the absolutely continuous part of Δ_g is described by the generalized eigenfunctions $E_j(z, s)$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $z \in M$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$. To each cusp we can associate such generalized eigenfunctions, also called Eisenstein functions by analogy with the Eisenstein series for hyperbolic surfaces. They are closely related to the wave operators $W_\pm(\Delta_g, \bar{\Delta}_{a,0})$ and to the scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$. For details, see [21, sec.7]. The main properties of the Eisenstein functions and the scattering matrix can be found in [21, Theorem 7.24].

1.4. **Conformal transformations.** Let us describe how some geometrical quantities change under a conformal transformation of the metric, i.e., when we multiply a given metric by a function that is strictly positive in the complete manifold.

Definition 1.3. *A conformal transformation of the metric* g *on* M *is a metric* h *defined by* $h = e^{2\varphi} g$ *where* $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$.

In this paper we consider conformal factors that are the exponential of smooth functions on M. These functions are often denoted by φ. **We call these functions the conformal factor**. Depending on the case they may have compact support or not. If the support is not compact we usually require some decay at infinity of the function φ as well as some of its derivatives. In what follows the metric h will always denote a conformal transformation of g.

Definition 1.4. *Two metrics* g_1, g_2 *are quasi-isometric if there exist constants* $C_1, C_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$C_1 g_1(z) \leq g_2(z) \leq C_2 g_1(z), \quad \text{for all } z \in M,$$

in the sense of positive definite forms.

Quasi-isometric metrics have equivalent geodesic distances. The associated L^2-spaces coincide as sets, thought the inner product is not the same.

Remark 1.5. *Let* $h = e^{2\varphi} g$. *If the function* φ *is bounded on* M, *we have that the metrics* g *and* h *are quasi-isometric and the geodesic distances,* d_g *and* d_h, *are equivalent. If in addition the metric* g *is complete, so is the metric* h.

Let A_g denote the area of (M, g), dA_g the volume element, and $K_g(z)$ its Gaussian curvature. Let A_h, dA_h and K_h be the quantities corresponding to (M, h), for any conformal transformation h of g. Let Δ_h be the Laplacian associated to h. Then for the metrics g and h we have the following relations:

$$dA_h = e^{2\varphi} dA_g, \quad \Delta_h = e^{-2\varphi} \Delta_g, \quad K_h = e^{-2\varphi}(\Delta_g \varphi + K_g)$$

The domains of the Laplacians Δ_g and Δ_h lie in different Hilbert spaces. Thus sometimes it is necessary to consider a unitary map between the spaces $L^2(M, dA_g)$ and $L^2(M, dA_h)$. From the definition of the metrics and the transformation of the area element we have that the unitary map is:

$$T : L^2(M, dA_g) \to L^2(M, dA_h), \ f \mapsto e^{-\varphi} f. \tag{1.2}$$

For the transformed Laplacians we have the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}\Delta_h T f &= e^{-2\varphi} \left(\Delta_g f + 2\langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g \varphi \rangle_g - (\Delta_g \varphi + |\nabla_g \varphi|_g^2) f \right) \\ T \Delta_g T^{-1} f &= e^{2\varphi} \left(\Delta_h f - 2\langle \nabla_h \varphi, \nabla_h f \rangle_h + (\Delta_h \varphi - |\nabla_h \varphi|_h) f \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Note that the operators $T^{-1}\Delta_h T$ and $T\Delta_g T^{-1}$ are self-adjoint in the corresponding transformed domain.

Let us first give a handwaving definition of what we mean by a surface with asymptotically cusps ends. The reason to do that is that we need flexibility in the conditions on the conformal factors:

A surface with asymptotically cusp ends is a surface (M, h) where the metric h is a conformal transformation of the metric on a surface with cusps (M, g) such that the conformal factor as well as some of its derivatives have a suitable decay in the cusps.

1.5. **Injectivity radius.** Let (M, g) be a surface with cusps. For an element in a cusp, $z = (y, x)$, we have that $\mathrm{inj}_g(z) \sim \frac{1}{y}$. If we assume in addition that $\Delta_g \varphi = O(1)$ as $y \to \infty$ then the surface (M, h) has bounded Gaussian curvature. Then for a surface with cusps or with asymptotically cusp ends, the injectivity radius vanishes, see [24, Prop.2.1].

1.6. **Heat kernels and their estimates.** The heat semigroup associated to a closed self-adjoint operator can be constructed using the spectral theorem. For the existence and uniqueness of the heat kernel on a complete open manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below see J. Dodziuk, [14]. For the main properties of heat kernels see [14] and [8].

Let (M, g) and $h = e^{2\varphi}g$ be as above, and let $e^{-t\Delta_h}$, $e^{-t\Delta_g}$, $e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}}$ denote the heat semigroups associated to the Laplacians Δ_h, Δ_g and $\Delta_{a,0}$, respectively. Since the Laplacians are positive, the heat equation is $\Delta + \partial_t = 0$. Let $K_h(z, z', t)$ and $K_g(z, z', t)$ denote the heat kernels corresponding to Δ_h and Δ_g respectively. We hope that this will not lead to confusions with the notation for the Gaussian curvatures and the heat kernels. The heat kernel on a surface with cusps was explicitly constructed by W. Müller in [21].

Like the Laplacians, the heat semigroups act on different spaces. The operator $e^{-t\Delta_h}$ may act on $L^2(M, dA_g)$, but it is not self-adjoint with respect to this inner product. To make $e^{-t\Delta_h}$ and $e^{-t\Delta_g}$ act on the same space and preserve self-adjointness we use the unitary map $T : L^2(M, dA_g) \to L^2(M, dA_h)$ defined by (1.2). The transformed operators $T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T$ and $Te^{-t\Delta_g}T^{-1}$ are self-adjoint on the corresponding space. Consider the integral kernel of the transformed operator $T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T : L^2(M, dA_g) \to L^2(M, dA_g)$ is given by $K_{T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T}(z, z', t) = e^{\varphi(z)}K_h(z, z', t)e^{\varphi(z')}$, that restricted to the diagonal has the form: $K_{T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T}(z, z, t) = K_h(z, z, t)e^{2\varphi(z)}$.

Let us recall the bound of the heat kernels, since we use them repeatedly. If the manifold is closed, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any fixed $0 < \tau < \infty$, the heat kernel satisfies the following bounds

$$K(x, y, t) \ll t^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{ct}}, \quad \text{for } t \leq \tau. \tag{1.4}$$

If the manifold has boundary, consider the closed self-adjoint extension of the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions. For the Dirichlet heat kernel, and given a compact set $K \subset M$ and a $T > 0$, there exists a positive constant c' such that

$$K_D(x, y, t) \leq c' t^{-n/2}(e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{ct}} + e^{\frac{d(y,\partial M)^2}{8t}}),$$

for $(x, y, t) \in K \times M \times (0, T]$, see [8, chapter VII].

Now, let $\widetilde{Z} = \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1$ be the complete cusp. Let us consider the hyperbolic metric on it, $g_0 = y^{-2}(dy^2 + dx^2)$. Then $(\widetilde{Z}, g_0)$ is a complete Riemannian manifold. Let Δ_1 be the unique self-adjoint extension of the Laplacian defined on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1)$. The notation for Δ_1 is arbitrary. The construction of the heat kernel for Δ_1 on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1$ can be found in [21]. We denote this heat kernel by K_1.

Let $i(z)$ be the function given by:

$$i(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } z \in M \setminus Z; \\ y, & \text{if } z \in Z \text{ and } z = (y, x). \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

The kernels K_1 and K_g satisfy the following estimates:
For $K_1(z, z', t)$, let $T > 0$ be arbitrary, then there exist constants $C_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for $0 < t < T$, $y, y' \geq 1$, and $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ one has:
(1.6)

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} d_z^l d_{z'}^m K_1(z, z', t) \right| \leq C_1 (i(z) i(z'))^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-1-k-l-m} \exp\left(-\frac{c_2 d_g^2(z, z')}{t} \right)$$

where d_g, the Riemannian distance in (M, g), is the hyperbolic distance in the cusp. The constants depend on T.
For $K_g(z, z', t)$, we have: for $T > 0$ there exist $C_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$|K_g(z, z', t)| \leq C_1 (i(z) i(z'))^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{c_2 d_g^2(z, z')}{t} \right) \tag{1.7}$$

uniformly for $0 < t < T$. See [21].

Let us now go back to the metric $h = e^{2\varphi} g$. Its restriction to Z can be extended to a metric on the complete cusp $\widetilde{Z}$ in the following way: On $\widetilde{Z}$ we have the hyperbolic metric g_0, and $g|_Z = g_0$. We start by extending the function $\varphi|_Z$ to a smooth function $\widetilde{\varphi}$ on $\widetilde{Z}$ that vanishes in a small neighborhood of zero. Then on $(0, \infty) \times S^1$ we define h as $h := e^{2\widetilde{\varphi}} g_0$. It is a complete metric and $h = g_0$ close to the boundary $\{0\} \times S^1$. In this way we can define the Laplacian on $(\widetilde{Z}, h)$. Denote its unique self-adjoint extension by $\Delta_{1,h}$. Clearly $\Delta_{1,h} = e^{-2\widetilde{\varphi}} \Delta_1$. The heat kernel associated to $\Delta_{1,h}$ is denoted by $K_{1,h}(z, z', t)$, for $z, z' \in \widetilde{Z}$ and $t > 0$.

In the general case, for upper estimates of heat kernels on complete Riemannian manifolds, we refer to S. Y. Cheng, P. Li and S. T. Yau in [10], Theorems 4, 6 and 7. There, the authors work with the heat kernel of a complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature bounded from above and from below by constants C and $-c$, with $C, c \geq 0$. They state that the derivatives of the heat kernel $K(x,y,t)$ are expected to satisfy similar inequalities as $K(x,y,t)$ itself satisfies, except for the powers of the time variable t which will be different and the constants will depend on the curvature of M and its covariant derivatives.

Transferring the results of [10] to our case, let us assume that φ and $\Delta_g\varphi$ decay in the cusp. Then the corresponding Riemannian distances are equivalent and they have "equivalent" injectivity radius. Therefore the kernel of the heat operator for the metric h satisfies the same estimate as the one corresponding to g:

$$K_h(z,z',t) \ll (i(z)i(z'))^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0 d_h^2(z,z')}{t}\right) \ll (i(z)i(z'))^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{c_1 d_g^2(z,z')}{t}\right) \tag{1.8}$$

uniformly for $0<t<T$, where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants.

For the derivatives of the heat kernel K_*, where $*$ denotes the metric g or h, we obtain:

$$|\nabla K_*(z,z',t)| \leq c(n,k,T)\ (i(z)i(z'))^{1/2} t^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{c_1 d_g^2(z,z')}{t}\right), \tag{1.9}$$

$$|\Delta_* K_*(z,z',t)| \leq C(n,A_0,A_1,T)\ (i(z)i(z'))^{1/2} t^{-2} \exp\left(-\frac{c_1 d_g^2(z,z')}{t}\right). \tag{1.10}$$

In the same way, the heat kernel $K_{1,h}$ satisfies the same estimates as the heat kernel K_1.

1.7. **Heat kernels of other operators.**

In this part we introduce the other heat operators that we will use throughout this document.

For $a>1$ let $\Delta_{a,0}$ be the operator defined in Definition 1.1. The heat kernel $p_a(y,y',t)$ for $\Delta_{a,0}$ can be computed explicitly, see [7, sec.14.2] or [21, p.258]. It is given by

$$p_a(y,y',t) = \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\ (yy')^{1/2}\left\{e^{-(\log(y/y'))^2/4t} - e^{-(\log(yy')-\log(a^2))^2/4t}\right\}, \tag{1.11}$$

for $y,y'>a$. This is easy to verify by direct computation. Also note that for $1\leq y\leq a$, $p_a(y,y',t)=0$.

The operator $e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}}$ acts on $L^2([a,\infty),y^{-2}dy)$. However, we can regard it as an operator acting on $L^2([1,\infty),y^{-2}dy)$ by considering the corresponding inclusion and restriction. Similarly, the operator $e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}$ can be regarded as acting on $L^2([a,\infty),y^{-2}dy)$.

Now, let us assume that M can be decomposed as $M = M_0 \cup Z$ with $Z = [1, \infty) \times S^1$. Then we can make the operator $e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}}$ act on $L^2(M, dA_g)$ in the following way:

$$e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} f(z) = \int_a^\infty \int_{S^1} p_a(y, y', t)\ f|_{Z_a}(y', x') dx' \frac{dy'}{y'^2} \quad \text{for } z = (y, x) \in Z_a,$$

and zero otherwise. From the symmetry of $p_a(y, y', t)$, is clear that the operator $e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}}$ acting on $L^2(M, dA_g)$ is symmetric.

Recall the operator $\Delta_{Z,D}$ defined in section 1.2. The kernel of the operator $e^{-t\Delta_{Z,D}}$ is constructed by a classical method (see [8, chapter VII]) and it is given by:

$$K_{Z,D}((y, x), (y', x'), t) = K_1((y, x), (y', x'), t) + p_{1,D}((y, x), (y', x'), t) \tag{1.12}$$

where $y, y' \geq 1$, $x, x' \in S^1$, $t > 0$, and $p_{1,D}((y, x), (y', x'), t)$ is a function that satisfies: for every $T > 0$ there exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that:

$$|p_{1,D}(z, z', t)| \leq C t^{-1} (i(z) i(z'))^{1/2} e^{-\frac{c(d_g(z, \partial Z) + d_g(z', \partial Z))^2}{t}} \tag{1.13}$$

for all $z, z' \in Z$ and $0 < t < T$.

Now let $\Delta_{Z,h}$ be the self-adjoint extension of the operator

$$-e^{-2\varphi} y^2 (\partial_y^2 + \partial_x^2) : C_c^\infty(Z) \to L^2(Z, dA_h)$$

obtained after imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions at $\{1\} \times S^1$. Let $K_{Z,h}$ denote the kernel of the operator $e^{-t\Delta_{Z,h}}$. As in the case of the heat kernel associated to the operator $\Delta_{Z,D}$, given in equation (1.12), the kernel $K_{Z,h}$ is given by:

$$K_{Z,h}(z, z', t) = K_{1,h}(z, z', t) + p_{h,D}(z, z', t), \tag{1.14}$$

for $z, z' \in Z$ and $t > 0$ where the term $p_{h,D}(z, z', t)$ is determined by the boundary condition. By the same argument as above, we infer that $p_{h,D}(z, z', t)$ satisfies, up to some constants, the same estimate as the one given by equation (1.13).

1.8. **Duhamel's Principle.**

There are several ways to state and use Duhamel's principle, see for example [8, VII.3].

Duhamel's principle can be applied in the non-compact setting under certain assumptions on the decay of the functions. This is the case of the heat kernels on surfaces with cusps and asymptotically cusp ends. In terms of the operators, Duhamel's principle can be stated as:

$$T^{-1} e^{-t\Delta_h} T - e^{-t\Delta_g} = \int_0^t T^{-1} e^{-s\Delta_h} T (\Delta_g - T^{-1} \Delta_h T) e^{-(t-s)\Delta_g}\, ds. \tag{1.15}$$

1.9. **Gauss-Bonnet formula.** For a surface M with m cusps, the Euler characteristic is given by $\chi(M) = (2 - 2p - m)$, where p is the genus of the compact surface $\overline{M}$ defined in section 1.2. A Gauss-Bonnet formula is valid in this setting:

$$\int_M K_g dA_g = 2\pi\chi(M),$$

where K_g denotes the Gaussian curvature of the metric g. The same formula is valid for the metric $h = e^{2\varphi}g$ when φ and $\Delta_g\varphi$ have a suitable decay at infinity, since:

$$\int_M K_h \; dA_h = \int_M e^{-2\varphi}(\Delta_g\varphi + K_g)e^{2\varphi} \; dA_g = \int_M K_g \; dA_g.$$

2. TRACE CLASS PROPERTY OF RELATIVE HEAT OPERATORS

In this section we proof Theorem 2.1, which says that the difference of the heat operators corresponding to the metrics g and h is trace class. As we know, none of the heat operators $e^{-t\Delta_h}$ nor $e^{-t\Delta_g}$ is trace class, which is the reason why we consider their difference. This is the first step to define the relative determinant of the pair (Δ_h, Δ_g).

Let (M, g), M_0, Z as well as Δ_g, $\Delta_{Z,D}$, and Δ_1 be as in Section 1. For the sake of simplicity, we consider the surface (M, g) only with one cusp so that it can be decomposed as $M = M_0 \cup Z$ with M_0 compact and $Z = [1, \infty) \times S^1$.

2.1. **Trace class property for relative heat operators of conformal transformations.**

Take g as background metric on M. Let h be a conformal transformation of the metric g by a conformal factor $e^{2\varphi}$. We assume that on the cusp Z the functions $\varphi(y, x)$, $|\nabla_g\varphi(y, x)|$ and $\Delta_g\varphi(y, x)$ are $O(y^{-\alpha})$, for $\alpha > 0$, as y goes to infinity. Let T be the unitary map defined in equation (1.2).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $h = e^{2\varphi}g$, and assume that on the cusp Z the functions $\varphi(y, x)$, $|\nabla_g\varphi(y, x)|$ and $\Delta_g\varphi(y, x)$ are $O(y^{-\alpha})$ with $\alpha > 0$, as $y \to \infty$. Then for any $t > 0$ the operator*

$$T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}$$

is trace class.

To prove this statement we follow a procedure similar to that used by W. Müller and G. Salomonsen in [24]. We use Duhamel's principle which was stated in Section 1.8.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the operator norm and $\|\cdot\|_{1,g}$, ($\|\cdot\|_{1,h}$, resp.), denote the trace norm in $L^2(M, dA_g)$, (in $L^2(M, dA_h)$, resp.). From equation (1.15), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.1)\quad \|T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}\|_{1,g} & \\
& ds \\
&\le \int_0^{t/2} \|(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T)e^{-(t-s)\Delta_g}\|_{1,g}\, ds \\
&\qquad + \int_{t/2}^{t} \|e^{-s\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)\|_{1,h}\, ds
\end{aligned}
$$

When considering the trace of the operator in the right hand side of equation (1.15) as an integral using heat kernels and their estimates one has to take two aspects into account. One is related with the time singularity at $t = 0$ and the other one is related with the convergence of the space integral. The idea of breaking up the integral in equation (2.1) comes from the need to avoid the time singularities coming from the heat kernel $K_h(z, z', s)$ $(K_g(z, z', t-s))$ close to $s = 0$ $(t - s = t)$ that do not integrate to something finite in a neighborhood of zero (of t).

Equation (2.1) reduces the proof of Theorem 2.1 to the following Proposition:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $0 < a < b < \infty$, under the same conditions of Theorem 2.1 we have that for each $t \in [a, b]$, the operators*

$$(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T)e^{-t\Delta_g} \qquad \text{and} \qquad e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)$$

are trace class and each trace norm is uniformly bounded on $t \in [a, b]$.

In the proof of Theorem 2.1, we repeatedly make use the following basic facts:

Lemma 2.3. (1) *For any $a > 0$, and $b, n, m \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that:*

$$\int_n^m e^{-ax^2 - bx} dx = \frac{e^{b^2/4a}}{\sqrt{a}} \int_{\sqrt{a}(n+\frac{b}{2a})}^{\sqrt{a}(m+\frac{b}{2a})} e^{-v^2} dv \le \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{b^2/4a}}{\sqrt{a}}.$$

(2) *For any $c > 0$, $0 < t \le T$, $k, \ell \ge 0$ with $k + \ell > 2$ we have:*

$$\int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty y^{-k} y'^{-\ell} e^{-\frac{c}{t}\log(y/y')^2} dy dy' \le \sqrt{t} e^{(1-k)^2 t/c}. \tag{2.2}$$

(3) *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, $\psi = e^{-2\varphi} - 1$ and $\widetilde{\psi} = e^{2\varphi} - 1$. If $\varphi|_Z(y, x)$, $\Delta_g\varphi|_Z(y, x)$ and $|\nabla_g\varphi|_g|_Z(y, x)$ are $O(y^{-k})$ as $y \to \infty$, then so are $\psi|_Z(y, x)$, $\Delta_g\psi|_Z(y, x)$, $|\nabla_g\psi|_g|_Z(y, x)$ and the analogues functions corresponding to $\widetilde{\psi}$.*

(4) *For $a, b, c > 0$, the function $f(t) = t^{-a}e^{-ct^{-b}}$ is bounded on $(0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t\to 0} f(t) = 0$.*

Proof. Statements (1) and (2) follow just from a change of variables. To give an idea to the reader of how we deal with these integrals, we include the proof of the second part. For y' fixed, put $v = \log(y/y')$, so $y'e^v = y$, and since $1 \leq y' < \infty$, then $-\infty < -\log(y') \leq 0$, thus

$$\int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty y^{-k} y'^{-\ell} e^{-\frac{c}{t}\log(y/y')^2} dy dy' = \int_1^\infty \int_{-\log(y')}^\infty y'^{-\ell-k+1} e^{(1-k)v} e^{-\frac{c}{t}v^2} dv dy'$$
$$\leq \int_1^\infty y'^{-\ell-k+1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{(1-k)v} e^{-\frac{c}{t}v^2} dv dy' \ll \sqrt{t} e^{(1-k)^2 t/c}.$$

Part (3) follows easily by noticing that:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_g \psi &= \nabla_g e^{-2\varphi} = -2e^{-2\varphi} \nabla_g \varphi \\ \Delta_g \psi &= \Delta_g e^{-2\varphi} = -2e^{-2\varphi} \Delta_g \varphi - 4e^{-2\varphi} |\nabla_g \varphi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Later we will also use the fact that the Riemannian distance in the cusp Z satisfies $d_g(z, z') \geq |\log(y/y')|$, for $z = (y, x)$, $z' = (y', x')$, see for example [21].

The proof of Proposition 2.2:

Proof. The proof follows in several steps. The idea is to decompose each operator as the product of two Hilbert-Schmidt (HS) operators whose traces are uniformly bounded on t at the corresponding interval. To prove the HS property we use that if R is an integral operator with kernel $r(z, z')$, its HS norm is giving by:

$$\|R\|_2^2 = \int_M \int_M |r(z, z')|^2 dA(z) dA(z').$$

Let $\beta = \alpha/2$, if $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and $\beta = 1/2$ if $\alpha \geq 1$; so that $0 < \beta \leq 1/2$. Let us define an auxiliary function ϕ that we will use repeatedly, such that $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfies $\phi > 0$ and

$$\phi(y, x) = y^{-\beta}, \quad (y, x) \in Z. \tag{2.3}$$

Let M_ϕ and M_ϕ^{-1} denote the operators multiplication by ϕ and ϕ^{-1}, respectively.

Step 1. To proof the trace class property of $(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T)e^{-t\Delta_g}$, we write

$$(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T)e^{-t\Delta_g} = ((\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T)e^{-(t/2)\Delta_g} M_\phi^{-1}) \circ (M_\phi e^{-(t/2)\Delta_g}),$$

and prove that for every $t > 0$, $(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T)e^{-t\Delta_g} M_\phi^{-1}$ and $M_\phi e^{-t\Delta_g}$ are HS operators. The reason to include the auxiliary function ϕ is that the heat operator $e^{-t\Delta_g}$ itself is not HS.

Step 1.1. $(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T)e^{-t\Delta_g} M_\phi^{-1}$ is HS. Equation (1.3) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T)e^{-t\Delta_g} M_\phi^{-1} &= ((1 - e^{-2\varphi(z)})\Delta_g) e^{-t\Delta_g} M_\phi^{-1} \\ &+ e^{-2\varphi}(-2\langle \nabla_g \varphi, \nabla_g \cdot \rangle_g + (\Delta_g \varphi + |\nabla_g \varphi|_g^2)) e^{-t\Delta_g} M_\phi^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us start with the term $((1-e^{-2\varphi(z)})\Delta_g)e^{-t\Delta_g}M_\phi^{-1}$; to prove the HS property for it, we just need to prove that the following integral is finite:

$$\int_M \int_M |(1-e^{-2\varphi(z)})\Delta_{g,z}K_g(z,z',t)\phi(z')^{-1}|^2 dA_g(z)dA_g(z').$$

Let us use the decomposition of M as $M = M_0 \cup Z$ to split the integral as:

$$\begin{aligned}(2.4)\qquad \int_M\int_M \cdots \ dA_g(z)dA_g(z') &= \int_{M_0}\int_{M_0} \cdots \ dA_g(z)dA_g(z') \\ + \int_{M_0}\int_Z \cdots \ dA_g(z)dA_g(z') &+ \int_Z\int_{M_0} \cdots \ dA_g(z)dA_g(z') \\ &+ \int_Z\int_Z \cdots \ dA_g(z)dA_g(z').\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the estimates for the derivatives of heat kernel $K_g(z,z',t)$ given in (1.10), the fact that $1-e^{-2\varphi(z)}$ decays as $y^{-\alpha}$ at infinity, and the definition of the function $i(z)$ given in (1.5). To estimate the resulting integrals we use Lemma 2.3. For the sake of simplicity let us just write c instead of $2c$ for the constant in the exponential factor of the estimates of the heat kernels.

For the first term in the sum in equation (2.4) which involves $z \in M_0$ and $z' \in M_0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{M_0}\int_{M_0} |(1-e^{-2\varphi(z)})\Delta_{g,z}K_g(z,z',t)\phi(z')^{-1}|^2 dA_g(z)dA_g(z') \\ &\qquad\qquad \ll \int_{M_0}\int_{M_0} t^{-4}e^{-\frac{c}{t}d_g^2(z,z')}\ dA_g(z)\ dA_g(z') \ll t^{-4}.\end{aligned}$$

For the second term in the sum in equation (2.4) which involves $z' \in M_0$ and $z \in Z$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{M_0}\int_Z |(1-e^{-2\varphi(z)})\Delta_{g,z}K_g(z,z',t)\phi(z')^{-1}|^2 dA_g(z)dA_g(z') \\ &\qquad \ll t^{-4}\int_{M_0}\int_{S^1}\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{y^{1+2\alpha}}\ e^{-\frac{c}{t}d_g^2((y,x),z')}\ dy\ dx\ dA_g(z') \ll t^{-4}.\end{aligned}$$

The third term in the sum in equation (2.4) involves variables $z \in M_0$ and $z' \in Z$. In this case we use that the Riemannian distance satisfies $d_g(z,z') \geq d_g(\partial Z, z') \geq |\log(y')|$ from which we infer:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_Z\int_{M_0} |(1-e^{-2\varphi(z)})\Delta_{g,z}K_g(z,z',t)\phi(z')^{-1}|^2 dA_g(z)dA_g(z') \\ &\qquad \ll \int_1^\infty\int_{S^1}\int_{M_0} y'^{1+2\beta}t^{-4}e^{-\frac{c}{t}d_g^2(z,(y',x'))}\ dA_g(z)\ dx'\frac{dy'}{y'^2} \\ &\qquad \ll t^{-4}\int_1^\infty e^{-\frac{c}{t}(\log(y'))^2}\ dy' = t^{-4}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{c}{t}u^2}e^u\ du \ll t^{-7/2}e^{t/c'}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last term in the sum in equation (2.4) in which the variables z, z' lie in Z we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Z\int_Z &|(1-e^{-2\varphi(z)})\Delta_{g,z}K_g(z,z',t)\phi(z')^{-1}|^2 dA_g(z)dA_g(z')\\ &\ll t^{-4}\int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty y^{-1-2\alpha}y'^{-1+2\beta}e^{-\frac{c}{t}(\log(y/y'))^2}\ dy\ dy' \ll t^{-7/2}e^{\frac{t}{c}},\end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha > \beta$. Thus we obtain:

$$\|(1-e^{-2\varphi})\Delta_g e^{-t\Delta_g}M_\phi^{-1}\|_2^2 \ll t^{-4}\left(1+t^{1/2}e^{t/c}\right).$$

We proceed now with the operators $e^{-2\varphi}\langle\nabla_g\varphi, \nabla_g\ \cdot\ \rangle_g e^{-t\Delta_g}M_\phi^{-1}$ and $e^{-2\varphi}(\Delta_g\varphi + |\nabla_g\varphi|_g^2))e^{-t\Delta_g}M_\phi^{-1}$. Their integral kernels are given by

$$\begin{gathered} e^{-2\varphi(z)}\langle\nabla_{g,z}\varphi(z), \nabla_{g,z}K_g(z,z',t)\rangle_g\phi^{-1}(z'), \qquad \text{and}\\ e^{-2\varphi(z)}(\Delta_g\varphi(z) + |\nabla_{g,z}\varphi(z)|_g^2)K_g(z,z',t)\phi^{-1}(z'),\end{gathered}$$

respectively. For which we have respectively the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}&|e^{-2\varphi(z)}\langle\nabla_{g,z}\varphi(z), \nabla_{g,z}K_g(z,z',t)\rangle_g\phi^{-1}(z')|^2\\ &\qquad\qquad \ll t^{-3}i(z)i(z')|\nabla_g\varphi(z)|^2 e^{-\frac{c}{t}d_g^2(z,z')}\phi^{-1}(z')^2, \quad \text{and}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&|e^{-2\varphi(z)}(\Delta_g\varphi(z) + |\nabla_{g,z}\varphi(z)|_g^2K_g(z,z',t)\phi^{-1}(z')|^2\\ &\qquad\qquad \ll t^{-2}(|\Delta_g\varphi(z)| + |\nabla_g\varphi(z)|_g^2)^2 i(z)i(z')e^{-\frac{c}{t}d_g^2(z,z')}\phi^{-1}(z')^2.\end{aligned}$$

We split the integrals on $M\times M$ in the same way as in equation (2.4), and the integrals obtained are very similar to those carried out in the previous part for the operator $(1-e^{-2\varphi})\Delta_g e^{-t\Delta_g}$. The main difference occurs in the power of t.

For the operator $e^{-2\varphi}\langle\nabla_g\varphi, \nabla_g\ \cdot\ \rangle_g e^{-t\Delta_g}M_\phi^{-1}$ we use the estimates in equation (1.9) and the decay of the function $|\varphi|$ at infinity.

Now, for the operator $e^{-2\varphi}(\Delta_g\varphi+|\nabla_g\varphi|_g^2))e^{-t\Delta_g}M_\phi^{-1}$ we use the estimate of the heat kernel given in equation (1.7) and the decay of the functions involving φ. Let us only show the integral on $Z\times Z$. For $z\in Z$ we have $(\Delta_g\varphi(z) + |\nabla_{g,z}\varphi(z)|_g^2)^2 \ll (y^{-\alpha}+y^{-2\alpha})^2 \ll y^{-2\alpha}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Z\int_Z &|e^{-2\varphi(z)}(\Delta_g\varphi(z) + |\nabla_{g,z}\varphi(z)|_g^2)K_g(z,z',t)\phi^{-1}(z')|^2 dA_g(z)dA_g(z')\\ &\ll t^{-2}\int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty y^{-1-2\alpha}y'^{-1+2\beta}e^{-\frac{c}{t}(\log(y/y'))^2}dydy' \ll t^{-3/2}e^{\frac{t}{c}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus in the same way as above we obtain:

$$\begin{gathered}\|e^{-2\varphi}\langle\nabla_g\varphi, \nabla_g\ \cdot\ \rangle_g e^{-t\Delta_g}M_\phi^{-1}\|_2^2 \ll t^{-3}\left(1+t^{1/2}e^{t/c}\right), \text{and}\\ \|e^{-2\varphi}(\Delta_g\varphi + |\nabla_g\varphi|_g^2)e^{-t\Delta_g}M_\phi^{-1}\|_2^2 \ll t^{-2}\left(1+t^{1/2}e^{t/c}\right).\end{gathered}$$

Step 1.2. The operator $M_\phi e^{-t\Delta_g}$ is HS, to see this, we have to prove that the following integral is finite:

$$\int_M \int_M |\phi(z) K_g(z,z',t)|^2 dA_g(z) dA_g(z').$$

We decompose the integral as in equation (2.4), and proceed in the same way as above, using in this case the estimates for $K_g(z,z',t)$ given in (1.7) and the definition of the functions ϕ and $i(z)$. Again, for the sake of simplicity we just write c instead of $2c$ in the exponential factor of the heat estimates. The computations are very similar to those in the previous case.

The integrals over $M_0 \times M_0$, $M_0 \times Z$, and $Z \times M_0$ do not have any problem. As for the last term, whose variables z, z' lie in Z, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(2.5)\quad & \int_Z \int_Z |\phi(z) K_g(z,z',t)|^2 dA_g(z') dA_g(z) \\ & \ll \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty y^{1-2\beta}\ y' t^{-2} e^{\frac{-c}{t}(\log(y/y'))^2} \frac{dy'}{y'^2} \frac{dy}{y^2} \\ & = t^{-2} \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty y^{-1-2\beta} y'^{-1} e^{\frac{-c}{t}(\log(y/y'))^2}\ dy\ dy' \leq t^{-3/2} e^{c't}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|M_\phi e^{-t\Delta_g}\|_2^2 \ll t^{-2} + t^{-3/2} e^{t/4c}.$$

In this way we have that $(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T) e^{-t\Delta_g}$ is a trace class operator and the trace norm satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}& \|(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T) e^{-t\Delta_g}\|_{1,g} \\ & \quad \leq \|(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T) e^{-(t/2)\Delta_g} M_\phi^{-1}\|_2 \cdot \|M_\phi e^{-(t/2)\Delta_g}\|_2 \\ & \quad \ll (t^{-2} + t^{-3} + t^{-4})^{1/2} \left(1 + t^{1/2} e^{t/c}\right)^{1/2} \left(t^{-2} + t^{-3/2} e^{t/c'}\right)^{1/2};\end{aligned}$$

the last expression is integrable for t in compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$.

Step 2. In this step we prove that the operator $e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)$ is trace class. The proof is very similar to the proof for $(\Delta_g - T^{-1}\Delta_h T) e^{-t\Delta_g}$ since the heat kernels satisfy the same estimates, and the metrics are quasi-isometric. Let us write:

$$e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h) = (e^{-(t/2)\Delta_h} M_\phi) \circ (M_\phi^{-1} e^{-(t/2)\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)),$$

where $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ is as above. Then we have to prove that for every $t > 0$, the kernels of the operators $e^{-t\Delta_h} M_\phi$ and $M_\phi^{-1} e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)$ are square integrable.

Step 2.2. The operator $M_\phi^{-1} e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)$ is HS. First of all let us consider the kernel of the operator $e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)$. For $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$

we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}(e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1}-\Delta_h)f)(z)&\\ &=\int_M K_h(z,z',t)\cdot(T\Delta_{g,z'}T^{-1}-\Delta_{h,z'})f(z')dA_h(z')\\ &=\int_M((T\Delta_{g,z'}T^{-1}-\Delta_{h,z'})K_h(z,z',t))\cdot f(z')dA_h(z'),\end{aligned}$$

since the operators $T\Delta_{g,z'}T^{-1}$ and Δ_h are symmetric on $L^2(M,dA_h)$. Now, let us use the equation

$$\begin{aligned}T\Delta_g T^{-1}-\Delta_h&\\ &=(e^{2\varphi}-1)\Delta_h-2e^{2\varphi}\langle\nabla_h\varphi,\nabla_h\,\cdot\,\rangle_h+(\Delta_g\varphi-|\nabla_g\varphi|_g^2)\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

to write

$$\begin{aligned}M_\phi^{-1}(T\Delta_g T^{-1}-\Delta_h)e^{-t\Delta_h}=M_\phi^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}\{(e^{2\varphi}-1)\Delta_h&\\ -2e^{2\varphi}\langle\nabla_h\varphi,\nabla_h\,\cdot\,\rangle_h+(\Delta_g\varphi-|\nabla_g\varphi|_g^2)\}.&\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $M_\phi^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1}-\Delta_h)$ is HS if the following functions

(1) $\phi(z)^{-1}(e^{2\varphi}(z')-1)\Delta_{h,z'}K_h(z,z',t)$,
(2) $\phi(z)^{-1}e^{2\varphi(z')}\langle\nabla_{h,z'}\varphi,\nabla_{h,z'}K_h\rangle_h$ and
(3) $\phi(z)^{-1}(\Delta_g\varphi(z')-|\nabla_{g,z'}\varphi|_g^2)K_h(z,z',t)$

are in $L^2(M\times M,dA_h dA_h)$.

We split again the integral in the same way as in equation (2.4) and use the estimates on the heat kernel $K_h(z,z',t)$ and its derivatives given in equations (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10). We also use that for any function $f\in L^1(M,dA_h)$ we have:

$$\int_M|f|dA_h\ll\int_M|f|dA_g.$$

For the first function listed above, the integrals are almost the same as the ones corresponding to the operator $(1-e^{-2\varphi})\Delta_g e^{-t\Delta_g}M_\phi^{-1}$. We obtain:

$$\int_M\int_M|\phi(z)^{-1}(e^{2\varphi(z')}-1)\Delta_{h,z'}K_h(z,z',t)|^2dA_h(z)dA_h(z')\ll t^{-4}+t^{-7/2}e^{t/c}$$

for some constant $c>0$.

Similarly for the other two functions we get bounds by $t^{-3}(1+t^{1/2}e^{t/c})$ and $t^{-2}(1+t^{1/2}e^{t/c})$, respectively. Combining these estimates we obtain:

$$\|M_\phi^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1}-\Delta_h)\|_2^2\ll(t^{-4}+t^{-3}+t^{-2})(1+t^{1/2}e^{t/c}).$$

Step 2.2. The operator $e^{-t\Delta_h}M_\phi$ is HS. The kernel of $e^{-t\Delta_h}M_\phi$ is $K_h(z,z',t)\phi(z')$. Since the estimates for the kernel $K_h(z,z',t)$ are, up to some constants, the same as the estimates for $K_g(z,z',t)$, we can use exactly the same proof as for the operator $M_\phi e^{-t\Delta_g}$ to show that:

$$\|e^{-t\Delta_h}M_\phi\|_2^2\ll t^{-2}(1+\sqrt{t}e^{\frac{t}{c}}).$$

Finally, for the operator $e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{-t\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)\|_{1,h} &\leq \|e^{-(t/2)\Delta_h} M_\phi\|_2 \cdot \|M_\phi^{-1} e^{-(t/2)\Delta_h}(T\Delta_g T^{-1} - \Delta_h)\|_2 \\ &\ll t^{-1}(t^{-4} + t^{-3} + t^{-2})^{1/2}\left(1 + \sqrt{t}e^{t/c}\right)\end{aligned}$$

This expression is clearly integrable for t on compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$.

This finishes the proofs of Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.1. □

2.2. **Relative trace of other heat operators.**

In this section, we consider relative heat traces of some operators naturally associated to the surface with cusps.

Proposition 2.4. *The operator $e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\Delta_{Z,D}}$ is trace class for all $t > 0$, where $e^{-t\Delta_{Z,D}}$ is considered as acting on $L^2(M, dA_g)$.*

This is a corollary of Proposition 6.4 in [21]. The statement of that proposition can be rewritten in our notation as follows:

Assume that M can be decomposed as $M = M_0 \cup Z$ with $Z = [1, \infty) \times S^1$. Let P_0 be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(M, dA_g)$ onto $L^2([1, \infty), y^{-2}dy)$. Then for every $t > 0$, $e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}} P_0$ is a trace class operator.

To see that Proposition 2.4 follows from this statement, recall what we explained in section 1.2: the operator $\Delta_{Z,D}$ can be decomposed as $\Delta_{Z,D} = \Delta_{1,0} \oplus \Delta_{Z,1}$, where the heat operator $e^{-t\Delta_{Z,1}}$ is trace class. So we have:

$$\|e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\Delta_{Z,D}}\|_1 = \|e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}\|_1 + \|e^{-t\Delta_{Z,1}}\|_1$$

Now, let us consider the operator $\Delta_{a,0}$ for $a > 1$. To see that $e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}}$ is trace class, we will proceed by writing the difference as

$$e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} = e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}} + e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}.$$

By Proposition 2.4, the first difference is trace class, so it suffices to show that $e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}$ is trace class.

Proposition 2.5. *For any $a > 1$ and $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}$ acting on $L^2([1, \infty), y^{-2}dy)$ is trace class and the trace is given by:*

$$\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\, e^{-t/4}\log(a).$$

As an operator on $L^2([a, \infty), y^{-2}dy)$ the trace is given by:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}) = -\frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \mathit{Erf}(\log(a)/\sqrt{t}),$$

where $\mathit{Erf}(s) = \int_0^s e^{-v^2} dv$.

Proof. Let us just sketch the proof. For the complete proof, see [2].

We start by using the explicit expression for each heat kernel given by equation (1.11) to prove that, for each $t > 0$, $e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}$ is a Hilbert Schmidt operator. We prove it by direct computation, showing that the difference of the heat kernels is in $L^2([1,\infty)\times[1,\infty), \frac{dy'}{y'^2}\frac{dy}{y^2})$. The computations are tiresome and involve functions of the form $\exp\left(-\frac{\log(yy'/a^2)^2}{4t}\right)$ and $\exp\left(-\frac{\log(y/y')^2}{2t}\right)$ that should be properly bounded.

The second step is to decompose the difference as the following sum:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}} &= e^{-t/2\Delta_{a,0}} M_\phi \cdot M_\phi^{-1}(e^{-t/2\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t/2\Delta_{1,0}}) \\ &\quad + (e^{-t/2\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t/2\Delta_{1,0}}) M_\phi^{-1} \cdot M_\phi e^{-t/2\Delta_{1,0}}, \end{aligned}$$

where M_ϕ is multiplication by the function ϕ defined in equation (2.3) with $\beta = 1/2$. We then prove that each term is Hilbert Schmidt with similar methods as above.

Now, let us compute the trace:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}) &= \int_1^\infty (p_a(y,y,t) - p_1(y,y,t))\frac{dy}{y^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_a^\infty (e^{-(\log(y^2))^2/4t} - e^{-(\log(y^2)-\log(a^2))^2/4t})\frac{dy}{y} \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^a (1 - e^{-(\log(y^2))^2/4t})\frac{dy}{y} = -\frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\log(a). \end{aligned}$$

If we consider $e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}$ as an operator acting on $L^2([a,\infty), y^{-2}dy)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}) &= \int_a^\infty (p_a(y,y,t) - p_1(y,y,t))\frac{dy}{y^2} \\ &= -\frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^a e^{-(\log(y))^2/t}\frac{dy}{y}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.6. *The trace of* $e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}$ *as an operator on* $L^2([a,\infty), y^{-2}dy)$ *has an asymptotic expansion for small values of* t*. This follows from Proposition 2.5 and the fact that* $Erf(x)$ *has an expansion for* $x \gg 1$*. Taking into account only the first term we have that* $Erf(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} + O(x^{-1})$*, as* $x \to \infty$ *from which we infer that:*

$$\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}})_{L^2([a,\infty),y^{-2}dy)} = -\frac{1}{4} + O(\sqrt{t}) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0.$$

Remark 2.7. *Let us study now the case when the manifold* M *can be decomposed as* $M = M_0 \cup Z_a$ *with* $a \geq 1$ *and we want to compare the operators* $e^{-t\Delta_g}$ *and* $e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}$*. In this case we could consider the operator* $e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}$ *acting on* $L^2(M, dA_g)$ *in the way explained in subsection 1.7. However it is more* *convenient and accurate to consider the extended space:*

$$\begin{aligned}&L^2(M, dA_g) \oplus L^2([1,a], y^{-2}dy)\\ &\quad = L^2(M_0, dA_g) \oplus L^2_0(Z_a) \oplus L^2([a,\infty), y^{-2}dy) \oplus L^2([1,a], y^{-2}dy)\\ &\qquad = L^2(M_0, dA_g) \oplus L^2_0(Z_a) \oplus L^2([1,\infty), y^{-2}dy)\end{aligned}$$

where $L^2_0(Z_a)$ *is the space defined in equation (1.1). Then the operators* $e^{-t\Delta_g}$ *and* $e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}$ *act on the extended space by being null where they are not defined. In this way we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}(2.7)\quad &\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}})_{L^2(M)\oplus L^2([1,a])}\\ &\quad = \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}})_{L^2(M)} + \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{a,0}} - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}})_{L^2([1,\infty))}\end{aligned}$$

where for the sake of simplicity we dropped the densities in the notation of the L^2 *spaces.*

3. Expansion of relative heat traces for small time

In this section we prove the existence of an expansion on t of the relative heat trace $\operatorname{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g})$ for small time. More precisely, we prove that there exists an expansion up to order ν of the relative heat trace, if the function $\varphi(y,x)$ and its derivatives up to second order are $O(y^{-k})$ as y goes to infinity, with $k \geq 9\nu + 14$. Our goal was to prove existence of a complete asymptotic expansion of the relative heat trace as $t \to 0$ under the conditions that φ and its derivatives up to order two decay as y^{-1} at infinity. Unfortunately, we were not able to completely realize this. However, we did identify the condition given above. We give an explicit sufficient rate of decay to define the relative determinant of the pair (Δ_h, Δ_g).

3.1. Expansion of the trace of $T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}$.

Let (M,g) be a swc. For the sake of simplicity we assume that (M,g) has only one cusp $Z \cong [1,\infty)$ with the hyperbolic metric on it. We take g as the background metric on M. Let $h = e^{2\varphi}g$. To start with, let us assume that for $(y,x) \in Z$, the functions $\varphi(y,x)$ and $\Delta_g\varphi(y,x)$ are $O(y^{-1})$ as $y \to \infty$.

Let $n > 1$, let us define the following notation:

$$M_n := M_0 \cup ([1,n] \times S^1), \quad Z'_n = [1,n] \times S^1, \quad Z_n = [n,\infty) \times S^1.$$

We start by constructing the kernel of a parametrix $Q_h(z,w,t)$ for the heat operator associated to Δ_h by patching together suitable heat kernels over $Z'_3 = M_3 \cap Z = [1,3] \times S^1$. Let us consider the following kernels:

- $K_{1,h}(z,w,t)$: the heat kernel for $\Delta_{1,h}$ on the complete cusp $\widetilde{Z} = \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1$, as was defined in Section 1.6.
- $K_{Z,h}(z,w,t)$: the heat kernel for $\Delta_{Z,h}$, as defined in Section 1.7. $K_{Z,h}$ is given by equation (1.14).
- For the compact part we consider a closed manifold W containing M_2 isometrically. Let $\Delta_{W,h}$ be the Laplacian on W and $K_{W,h}(z,w,t)$ be the kernel of the corresponding heat operator $e^{-t\Delta_W}$.

For any two constants $1 < b < c$, let $\phi_{(b,c)}$ be a smooth function on $[1,\infty) \times S^1$ that is constant in the second variable, is non-decreasing in the first variable, and satisfies $\phi_{(b,c)}(y,x) = 0$ for $y \leq b$, and $\phi_{(b,c)}(y,x) = 1$ for $y \geq c$. Let $\psi_2 = \phi_{(\frac{5}{4},2)}$ and $\psi_1 = 1 - \psi_2$; then $\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$ is a partition of unity on $[1,2] \times S^1$. Let $\varphi_2 = \phi_{(1,\frac{9}{8})}$ and $\varphi_1 = 1 - \phi_{(\frac{5}{2},3)}$, so that $\varphi_i = 1$ on the support of ψ_i, $i = 1,2$. Extend these functions to M in the obvious way. Note that $|\nabla_h \varphi_i(z)| \ll 1$ and $|\Delta_h \varphi_i(z)| \ll 1$, for $i = 1,2$. For this choice of functions we have that:

- $\operatorname{supp} \nabla_h \varphi_1 \subseteq [\frac{5}{2}, 3] \times S^1$, and, $\operatorname{supp} \psi_1 \subseteq M_2$.
- $\operatorname{supp} \nabla_h \varphi_2 \subseteq [1, \frac{9}{8}] \times S^1$, and, $\operatorname{supp} \psi_2 \subseteq [\frac{5}{4}, \infty) \times S^1$.

Now, we put:

$$Q_h(z,w,t) = \varphi_1(z) K_{W,h}(z,w,t)\psi_1(w) + \varphi_2(z) K_{1,h}(z,w,t)\psi_2(w). \tag{3.1}$$

From the properties of the heat kernels, $K_{W,h}$ and $K_{1,h}$, and the construction of the gluing functions it is easy to see that $Q_h(z,w,t) \to \delta_{w-z}$, as $t \to 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist constants* $C \geq 0$ *and* $c > 0$ *such that*

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{h,z} \right) Q_h(z,w,t) \right| \leq C e^{-c/t}, \qquad \textit{for} \quad 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Proof. Here we use the estimates for the heat kernels given by equations (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10) as well as Theorem 2.1 and the equivalence of the geodesic distances d_g and d_h.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{h,z}) Q_h(z,w,t) \\
&= \varphi_1(z)((\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{h,z})K_{W,h})\psi_1(w) + (2\langle \nabla\varphi_1, \nabla_z K_{W,h}\rangle + (\Delta_h \varphi_1)K_{W,h})\psi_1(w) \\
&+ \varphi_2(z)((\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{h,z})K_{1,h})\psi_2(w) + (2\langle \nabla\varphi_2, \nabla_z K_{1,h}\rangle + (\Delta_h \varphi_2)K_{1,h})\psi_2(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Because the kernels satisfy the heat equation it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{h,z} \right) Q_h(z,w,t) \right| &\ll |(\langle \nabla\varphi_1, \nabla_z K_{W,h}\rangle + (\Delta_h\varphi_1)K_{W,h})\psi_1(w)| \\
&\quad + |(\langle \nabla\varphi_2, \nabla_z K_{1,h}\rangle + (\Delta_h\varphi_2)K_{1,h})\psi_2(w)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{h,z}\right) Q_h(z,w,t)\right|$ has compact support in z. Let us consider the following terms separately:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &:= |(\langle \nabla\varphi_1, \nabla_z K_{W,h}\rangle + (\Delta_h\varphi_1)K_{W,h})\psi_1(w)|, \\
S_2 &:= |(\langle \nabla\varphi_2, \nabla_z K_{1,h}\rangle + (\Delta_h\varphi_2)K_{1,h})\psi_2(w)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $S_1 \neq 0$ if $z \in \operatorname{supp} \nabla\varphi_1$ and $w \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_1$. In this case $d_g(z,w) \geq \log(5/4)$, so that taking $c_1' = c\log(5/4)$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &\leq (|\nabla\varphi_1(z)|\ |\nabla_z K_{W,h}(z,w,t)| + |\Delta_h\varphi_1(z)|\ |K_{W,h}(z,w,t)|)\chi_{\operatorname{supp}\psi_1}(w) \\
&\ll t^{-3/2} e^{-c d_g^2(z,w)/t} + t^{-1} e^{-c d_g^2(z,w)/t} \ll e^{-c_1'/2t}
\end{aligned}$$

since $(t^{-3/2}+t^{-1})e^{-c_1'/2t}$ is bounded for $0 < t \leq 1$. In the same way as above, note that $S_2 \neq 0$ if $z \in \operatorname{supp} \nabla\varphi_2$ and $w = (v,u) \in \operatorname{supp}\psi_2 = [\frac{5}{4},\infty) \times S^1$. In this case $d_g(z,w) \geq \log(v/(9/8)) \geq \log(10/9)$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\leq (|\nabla\varphi_2(z)|\, |\nabla_z K_{1,h}(z,w,t)| + |\Delta_h\varphi_2(z))|\, |K_{1,h}(z,w,t)|)\chi_{\operatorname{supp}\psi_2}(w) \\ &\ll v^{1/2}e^{-c(\log(8v/9))^2/2t}(t^{-3/2}+t^{-1})e^{-c_2'/2t} \ll e^{-c_2'/4t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_2' = c\log(10/9)$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 3.2. *Note that*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{h,z}\right) Q_h(z,w,t)\Big|_{w=z} = 0.$$

In order that the expression above does not vanish we need that

$$d_g(z,w) \geq \min\{\log(5/4), \log(10/9)\} > 0.$$

We now prove that in the expression for asymptotic expansion of the relative heat trace we can exchange the heat kernel K_h for the parametrix Q_h built above.

Lemma 3.3. *There exist constants $C \geq 0$ and $c_3 > 0$ such that, for any $0 < t \leq 1$:*

$$\int_M |Q_h(z,z,t) - K_h(z,z,t)|dA_h(z) \leq Ce^{-\frac{c_3}{t}}.$$

Proof. Applying Duhamel's principle to the heat kernel K_h and the parametrix Q_h we infer:

$$Q_h(z,z',t) - K_h(z,z',t) = \int_0^t \int_M K_h(z,w,s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{h,w}\right) Q_h(w,z',t-s)\, dA_h(w)\, ds.$$

Using Remark 3.2 we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M |Q_h(z,z,t) - K_h(z,z,t)|dA_h(z) \\ &\leq \int_0^t \int_M \int_M \left|K_h(z,w,s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{h,w}\right) Q_h(w,z,t-s)\right|\, dA_h(w)\, dA_h(z)\, ds \\ &= \int_0^t \left(\int_{M_2} \int_{[\frac{5}{2},3]\times S^1} \cdot\, dA_h(w)\, dA_h(z) + \int_{Z_{\frac{5}{4}}} \int_{[1,\frac{9}{8}]\times S^1} \cdot\, dA_h(w)\, dA_h(z) \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral in the right hand side is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int_{M_2} \int_{[\frac{5}{2},3]\times S^1} i(z)^{1/2} s^{-1} e^{-\frac{c_2}{s}} e^{-\frac{c'}{t-s}}\, dA_h(w)\, dA_h(z)\, ds \\ &\qquad\qquad \ll \left(\int_0^t e^{-\frac{c_2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{c'}{t-s}}\, ds\right)\left(\int_{\frac{5}{2}}^3 \frac{dv}{v^2}\right) \ll te^{-\frac{c_3}{t}} \ll e^{-\frac{c_3}{t}} \end{aligned}$$

since $0 < t \leq 1$.

For the second integral in the right hand side above, recall that $\operatorname{supp}\psi_2 \subset [5/4,\infty)\times S^1$. Thus:

$$\int_0^t \int_{Z_{\frac{5}{4}}} \int_{[1,\frac{9}{8}]\times S^1} \left|K_h(z,w,s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\Delta_{h,w}\right)Q_h(w,z,t-s)\right| dA_h(w)\, dA_h(z)\, ds$$
$$\ll \int_0^t \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{\infty} \int_1^{\frac{9}{8}} y^{1/2} e^{-\frac{c_2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{c_1}{t-s}} \,\frac{dv}{v^2}\,\frac{dy}{y^2}\, ds \le t e^{-\frac{c_3}{t}} \le e^{-\frac{c_3}{t}}.$$

□

Thus in the heat trace we can replace $K_h(z,w,t)$ by $Q_h(z,w,t)$. Since the function $e^{-2\varphi}$ is bounded, the derivatives of the gluing functions φ_1 and φ_2 with respect to the metric g satisfy the same bounds as the derivatives with respect to the metric h. Then we can perform the same construction for the kernel $K_g(z,w,t)$ to replace it by $Q_g(z,w,t)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\nu \geq 1$, and let $\varphi|_Z(z)$, $\Delta_g\varphi|_Z(z)$, and, $|\nabla_g\varphi|_g|_Z(z)$ with $z=(y,x)$, be $O(y^{-k})$ as $y\to\infty$ with $k>0$. If $k \geq 9\nu+14$, there is an expansion of the relative heat trace:*

$$\operatorname{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}) = t^{-1}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\nu} a_\ell t^\ell + O(t^\nu), \ \ \text{as } t\to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. The relative heat trace is given by:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}) = \int_M (K_h(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_g(z,z,t))\, dA_g(z).$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we obtain:

$$\left|\int_M (K_h(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_g(z,z,t))dA_g(z) - \int_M (Q_h(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - Q_g(z,z,t))dA_g(z)\right| \ll e^{-c_3/t}.$$

Therefore in order to prove Theorem 3.4 we can replace the heat kernels by the corresponding parametrices. Let $a>1$ and let us decompose the integral as a sum:

$$\int_M Q_h(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - Q_g(z,z,t)dA_g(z) = I_0(t)+I_1(t)+I_2(t),$$

where

$$I_0(t) = \int_M \psi_1(z)(K_{W,h}(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_{W,g}(z,z,t))\, dA_g(z), \tag{3.3}$$

$$I_1(t) = \int_{Z'_a} \psi_2(z)(K_{1,h}(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_{1,g}(z,z,t))\, dA_g(z), \tag{3.4}$$

$$I_2(t) = \int_{Z_a} \psi_2(z)(K_{1,h}(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_{1,g}(z,z,t))\, dA_g(z). \tag{3.5}$$

For $I_0(t)$ we use the asymptotic expansion of the kernels $K_{W,h}$ and $K_{W,g}$:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{W,h}(z,z,t) &= t^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k(h,z)t^k + R_N(h,z,t) \quad \text{and} \\ K_{W,g}(z,z,t) &= t^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k(g,z)t^k + R_N(g,z,t). \end{aligned}$$

For any N, the remainder terms $R_N(h,z,t)$ and $R_N(g,z,t)$ are uniformly bounded in a compact set therefore they can be integrated. In this way the integral I_0 has a complete asymptotic expansion in t:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(t) &= \int_{M_2} \psi_1(z)(K_{W,h}(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_{W,g}(z,z,t))\ dA_g(z) \\ &= t^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{N}\int_{M_2} \psi_1(z)(a_k(h,z)e^{2\varphi(z)} - a_k(g,z))t^k\ dA_g(z) \\ &\qquad + \int_{M_2} \psi_1(z)(R_N(h,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - R_N(g,z,t))\ dA_g(z). \end{aligned}$$

The other two integrals can be rewritten as traces of the operators:

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= M_{\chi_{Z'_a}} M_{\psi_2}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_{1,h}}T - e^{-t\Delta_{1,g}}) \quad \text{and} \\ B(t) &= M_{\chi_{Z_a}} M_{\psi_2}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_{1,h}}T - e^{-t\Delta_{1,g}}), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. We will prove in Proposition 3.5 that for $0 < t \le 1$, taking $a = t^{-1/9}$ and assuming that $\varphi((y,x))$ and $\Delta_g\varphi((y,x))$ are $O(y^{-\alpha})$ as $y \to \infty$, with $\alpha > 0$, $\operatorname{Tr}(A(t)) = I_1(t)$ has a complete asymptotic expansion of the form:

$$I_1(t) \sim t^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^j.$$

For $B(t)$, also taking $a = t^{-1/9}$ and under the conditions that $\varphi((y,x))$, $|\nabla_g\varphi((y,x))|$ and $\Delta_g\varphi((y,x))$ are $O(y^{-k})$ as $y \to \infty$, we will prove in Proposition 3.6 that $|\operatorname{Tr}(B(t))| \ll t^{\nu}$, provided that $k \ge 9\nu + 14$. In this part we use Duhamel's principle in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 to estimate the trace norm of $B(t)$. The condition $k \ge 9\nu + 14$ comes from requiring that $I_2(t) = \operatorname{Tr}(B(t)) = O(t^{\nu})$.

Proving these two facts will complete the proof of the theorem. □

Proposition 3.5. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1 we have that there is a complete asymptotic expansion as* $t \to 0$ *of the integral* $I_1(t)$ *in equation (3.4), with* $a = t^{-1/9}$. *The asymptotic expansion has the following form:*

$$\int_{[1,a]\times S^1} \psi_2(z)(K_{1,h}(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_{1,g}(z,z,t))\ dA_g(z) \sim t^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^j.$$

Proof. In order to deal with the integral

$$\int_1^a \int_{S^1} \psi_2(z)(K_{1,h}(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_{1,g}(z,z,t))\ dA_g(z),$$

we first recall what $K_{1,h}$ and $K_{1,g}$ are. Recall that h was extended to the complete cusp $\widetilde{Z}$ and that $K_{1,h}(z,w,t)$ denotes the heat kernel for Δ_h on $\widetilde{Z}$. The idea of the proof of Proposition 3.5 is to use the local asymptotic expansion of the corresponding heat kernels and find a uniform bound on the remainder term.

Let us consider the universal covering of $\widetilde{Z}$: Let $\hat{Z} = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$, $\pi : \hat{Z} \to \widetilde{Z}$ be the quotient function, and, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ be the group of deck transformations. The metric h on $\widetilde{Z}$ induces a metric $\hat{h}$ on $\hat{Z}$, that has the same curvature properties as h. In addition, $\hat{h} = e^{2\hat{\varphi}}\hat{g}_0$, where $\hat{g}_0$ is the lift of g_0 to $\hat{Z}$ and is precisely the hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{H}$, and the function $\hat{\varphi}$ is a lift of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ ($\widetilde{\varphi}$ the extension of φ to $\widetilde{Z}$), $\hat{\varphi} = \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \pi$. It follows that $\hat{h}$ and $\hat{g}_0$ are quasi-isometric. Therefore by Proposition 2.1 in [24] it follows that the injectivity radius of $\hat{h}$ is bounded from below by a positive constant independent of the point. In this way $(\hat{Z}, \hat{h})$ has bounded geometry. Let k_h denote the heat kernel for $\Delta_{\hat{h}}$ in $\hat{Z}$. Then from the results in [10] we have the following estimate:

$$k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{w}, t) \leq Ct^{-1}e^{-\frac{c\ d^2(\widetilde{z},\widetilde{w})}{t}},$$

where $\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{w} \in \hat{Z}$ and $0 < t \leq 1$. It is not difficult to verify that

$$K_{1,h}(z,w,t) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{w} + m, t),$$

where $\pi(\widetilde{z}) = z$, $\pi(\widetilde{w}) = w$.

The above construction can be performed for the kernel $K_{1,g}$ as well. Then the integral $I_1(t)$ becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) &= \int_1^a \int_{S^1} \psi_2(z)(K_{1,h}(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_{1,g}(z,z,t))\ dA_g(z) \\ &= \int_1^a \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) \left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m, t)e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z}+m)} - \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+l, t) \right)\ dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z}), \end{aligned}$$

because $F = \mathbb{R}^+ \times [0, 2\pi]$ is a fundamental domain for Γ and the domain corresponding to Z'_a in F is $[1,a] \times [0,2\pi]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) &= \int_1^a \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z})(k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m, t)e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z}+m)} - k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m, t))\ dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z}) \\ &= \int_1^a \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z})(k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t)e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z})} - k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t))\ dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z}) \\ &\quad + \int_1^a \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) \sum_{m\neq 0} (k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m, t)e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z}+m)} - k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m, t))\ dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We will start by estimating the second term on the right hand side of (3.6). Note that $\hat{\varphi} = \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \pi$ implies that the function $e^{2\hat{\varphi}}$ is bounded. This, the fact that the metrics $\hat{h}$ and $\hat{g}$ are quasi-isometric and the estimate on the heat kernel k_h imply that:

$$\sum_{m \neq 0} k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z} + m, t) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z}+m)} \ll t^{-1} \sum_{m \neq 0} \exp\left(-\frac{c_1 d_{\hat{g}}^2(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m)}{t} \right). \tag{3.7}$$

From the explicit expression of the hyperbolic distance in the upper half plane, we have that

$$d_{\hat{g}}((\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}), (\widetilde{x}+m, \widetilde{y})) = \cosh^{-1}\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{2\widetilde{y}^2}\right).$$

Since for $s \geq 1$ we have that $\cosh^{-1}(s) = \log(s + \sqrt{s^2-1})$, we obtain

$$d_{\hat{g}}((\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}), (\widetilde{x}+m, \widetilde{y})) = \log\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{2\widetilde{y}^2} + \frac{|m|}{\widetilde{y}}\sqrt{\frac{m^2}{4\widetilde{y}^2} + 1}\right) \geq \log\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{2\widetilde{y}^2}\right).$$

For $\widetilde{y} = y \in [1, a]$, we have that $\log(1 + \frac{m^2}{2\widetilde{y}^2}) \geq \log(1 + \frac{1}{2a^2})$. Thus

$$e^{-\frac{c_1 d_{\hat{g}}^2(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m)}{t}} \leq e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+1/2a^2)^2}{2t}} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+m^2/2\widetilde{y}^2)^2}{2t}}.$$

For $0 \leq s \leq 1$, we have that $\log(1+s) \geq s^2/2$. Applying this to $s = (2a^2)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{m \neq 0} e^{-\frac{c_1 d_{\hat{g}}^2(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m)}{t}} \leq e^{-\frac{c_1}{2^6 a^8 t}} \sum_{m \neq 0} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+\frac{m^2}{2\widetilde{y}^2})^2}{2t}} \leq e^{-\frac{c_2}{a^8 t}} \sum_{m \neq 0} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+\frac{m^2}{2a^2})^2}{2t}}, \tag{3.8}$$

with c_2 a positive constant. In order to estimate the series, we compare it with an integral using the fact that $\exp\left(-\frac{c_1 \log(1+\frac{m^2}{2a^2})^2}{2t}\right)$ is a decreasing function of m. We proceed in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \neq 0} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+\frac{m^2}{2a^2})^2}{2t}} &\ll \int_1^\infty e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+\frac{u^2}{2a^2})^2}{2t}} du \\ &\leq \int_1^{\sqrt{2}a} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+\frac{u^2}{2a^2})^2}{2t}} du + \int_{\sqrt{2}a}^\infty e^{-\frac{2c_1 \log(\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}a})^2}{t}} du \\ &\ll (\sqrt{2}a - 1) + a \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{2c_1 v^2}{t}} e^v dv \ll a(1 + \sqrt{t}e^{ct}) \ll a, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where for the integral of the right hand side, we used the change of variables $v = log(\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}a})$; and in the middle step we used that for $x \geq 1$, $\log(x+1)^2 \geq \log(x)^2$. Now we can use (3.7) and the bounds above to estimate the second term in the right hand side of equation (3.6):

$$\int_1^a \int_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) \sum_{m\neq 0} (k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m, t) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z}+m)} - k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m, t))| \; dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z})$$

$$\ll t^{-1} \int_1^a \int_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) \sum_{m\neq 0} e^{-\frac{c_1 d_{\hat{g}}^2(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m)}{t}} |dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z})$$

$$\ll t^{-1} e^{-\frac{c_2}{a^8 t}} \int_1^a \sum_{m\neq 0} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+\frac{m^2}{2a^2})^2}{2t}} \frac{dy}{y^2} \ll t^{-1} a e^{-\frac{c_2}{a^8 t}}.$$

Now taking $a = t^{-1/9}$ we get $a^8 t = t^{1/9}$. Therefore $e^{-\frac{c_2}{a^8 t}} = e^{-\frac{c_2}{t^{1/9}}}$ and we obtain:

$$\int_1^a \int_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) \sum_{m\neq 0} (k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m, t) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z}+m)} - k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}+m, t))| \; dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z})$$

$$\ll t^{-1} t^{-1/9} e^{-\frac{c_2}{t^{1/9}}} \ll t^{-10/9} e^{-\frac{c_2}{t^{1/9}}} \ll e^{-\frac{c_2}{2t^{1/9}}}.$$

Let us see now what happens with the first term in the right hand side of equation (3.6):

$$\int_1^a \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) (k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z})} - k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t)) dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z})$$

$$= \int_1^{t^{-1/9}} \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) (k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z})} - k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t)) dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z}).$$

The kernel $k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t)$, as well as $k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t)$, has a uniform local asymptotic expansion as $t \to 0$ of the usual form:

$$\begin{aligned} k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t) &= t^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k(\hat{h}, \widetilde{z}) t^k + R_N(\hat{h}, \widetilde{z}, t) \quad \text{and} \\ k_g(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t) &= t^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k(\hat{g}, \widetilde{z}) t^k + R_N(\hat{g}, \widetilde{z}, t) \end{aligned}$$

for any $N \geq 0$. For the remainder terms there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|R_N(\hat{h}, \widetilde{z}, t)| \leq C t^N \quad \text{and} \quad |R_N(\hat{g}, \widetilde{z}, t)| \leq C t^N \tag{3.10}$$

independent of $\widetilde{z}$. Replacing the corresponding expansion in the previous integral we obtain:

$$\int_1^{t^{-1/9}} \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) t^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k(\hat{h}, \widetilde{z}) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z})} - a_k(\hat{g}, \widetilde{z}) \right) t^k dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z})$$

$$+ \int_1^{t^{-1/9}} \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{\psi}_{t^{-1/9},1}(\widetilde{z}) (R_N(\hat{h}, \widetilde{z}, t) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z})} - R_N(\hat{g}, \widetilde{z}, t)) dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z}).$$

The first term can be integrated without any problem to obtain:

$$\int_1^{t^{-1/9}} \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) t^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k(\hat{h}, \widetilde{z}) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z})} - a_k(\hat{g}, \widetilde{z}) \right) t^k dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z}) = t^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_k t^k.$$

As for the remainder terms, using equation (3.10) we have:

$$\left| \int_1^{t^{-1/9}} \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) (R_N(\hat{h}, \widetilde{z}, t) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z})} - R_N(\hat{g}, \widetilde{z}, t)) dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z}) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_1^{t^{-1/9}} \int_0^{2\pi} (|R_N(\hat{h}, \widetilde{z}, t) e^{2\hat{\varphi}(\widetilde{z})}| + |R_N(\hat{g}, \widetilde{z}, t)|) dA_{\hat{g}}(\widetilde{z}) \ll t^N \int_1^{t^{-1/9}} \frac{dy}{y^2} \ll t^N,$$

since $0 < t \leq 1$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.5. ☐

Proposition 3.6. *Let* $\nu \geq 1/2$, *and let* $\varphi|_Z(z)$, $\Delta_g \varphi|_Z(z)$, *and,* $|\nabla_g \varphi|_g|_Z(z)$ *with* $z = (y, x)$, *be* $O(y^{-k})$ *as* $y \to \infty$, *with* $k \geq 1$. *For* $0 < t \leq 1$, *and for* $a = t^{-1/9}$, *if* $k \geq 9\nu + 14$ *we have that:*

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(M_{\chi_{Z_a}} M_{\psi_2} (T^{-1} e^{-t\Delta_{1,h}} T - e^{-t\Delta_{1,g}}))| \ll t^{\nu}.$$

Proof. To prove Proposition 3.6 we want to apply Duhamel's principle on the cusp Z. However the heat operators involved in the trace correspond to Laplacians in the complete cusp $\widetilde{Z}$. Therefore in order to make the computations easier, we first replace them by the heat operators $e^{-t\Delta_{Z,h}}$ and $e^{-t\Delta_{Z,g}}$ corresponding to the extensions of the Laplacians on the cusps with respect to Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then, we apply Duhamel's principle to $e^{-t\Delta_{Z,h}}$ and $e^{-t\Delta_{Z,g}}$. We have to take into account more terms, but we avoid the problem of the singularity at $y = 0$. Using equations (1.12) and (1.14) to replace the respective kernels we obtain:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(M_{\chi_{Z_a}} M_{\psi_2} (T^{-1} e^{-t\Delta_{1,h}} T - e^{-t\Delta_{1,g}})) = \operatorname{Tr}(M_{\chi_{Z_a}} M_{\psi_2} (T^{-1} e^{-t\Delta_{Z,h}} T - e^{-t\Delta_{Z,g}}))$$
$$- \int_M \chi_{Z_a}(z) \psi_2(z) (p_{h,D}(z, z, t) e^{2\varphi(z)} - p_{1,D}(z, z, t)) dA_g(z).$$

From equation (1.13) and $\operatorname{supp}(\psi_2) = Z_{5/4}$ it follows that:

$$\left| \int_M \psi_2(z) (p_{h,D}(z, z, t) e^{2\varphi(z)} - p_{1,D}(z, z, t)) dA_g(z) \right|$$
$$\ll \int_{Z_{\frac{5}{4}}} t^{-1} y (e^{-\frac{c d_h(z, \partial Z)}{t}} + e^{-\frac{c' d_g(z, \partial Z)}{t}}) dA_g(z) \ll \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{\infty} t^{-1} y e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(y)^2}{t}} \frac{dy}{y^2}$$
$$\leq t^{-1} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(5/4)^2}{2t}} \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{\infty} y^{-1} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(y)^2}{2t}} dy \ll e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(5/4)^2}{4t}}.$$

We now continue with the estimation of the trace of the operator

$$M_{\chi_{Z_a}} M_{\psi_2} (T^{-1} e^{-t\Delta_{Z,h}} T - e^{-t\Delta_{Z,g}}).$$

The kernel of $T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_{Z,h}}T - e^{-t\Delta_{Z,g}}$ as operator on $L^2(M, dA_g)$ is given by

$$e^{\varphi(z)}K_{Z,h}(z,w,t)e^{\varphi(w)} - K_{Z,g}(z,w,t),$$

and for $z = w$ it takes the form $K_{Z,h}(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_{Z,g}(z,z,t)$. From the usual form of Duhamel's principle we infer:

$$\begin{aligned}&K_{Z,h}(z,w,t)e^{2\varphi(w)} - K_{Z,g}(z,w,t) = \\ &\qquad \int_0^t \int_M K_{Z,h}(z,z',s)e^{2\varphi(z')}(\Delta_{Z,g} - \Delta_{Z,h})K_{Z,g}(z',w,t-s)dA_g(z')\ ds.\end{aligned}$$

Then taking $z = w$ in the equation above and using the transformation of the Laplacian we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}(M_{\chi_{Z_a}}M_{\psi_2}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_{Z,h}}T - e^{-t\Delta_{Z,g}})) \\ &\qquad = \int_{Z_a} \psi_2(z)(K_{Z,h}(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_{Z,g}(z,z,t))dA_g(z) \\ &\qquad = \int_{Z_a} \psi_2(z) \int_0^t \int_Z \Big\{ K_{Z,h}(z,z',s)e^{2\varphi(z')}(1 - e^{-2\varphi(z')}) \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \Delta_{Z,g}K_{Z,g}(z',z,t-s)\Big\}\, dA_g(z')\ ds\ dA_g(z).\end{aligned}$$

Remember that $\mathrm{supp}(\psi_2) = Z_{5/4}$ and let us first assume that $a > 5/4$, so $4a/5 > 1$. Split the integral as the sum of the following terms:

(1) $J_1 = \int_0^t \int_{Z_a} \int_{[1,\frac{4a}{5}]\times S^1} \cdot\, dA_g(z')dA_g(z)ds$.
(2) $J_2 = \int_0^{t/2} \int_{Z_a} \int_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}} \cdot\, dA_g(z')dA_g(z)ds$.
(3) $J_3 = \int_{t/2}^t \int_{Z_a} \int_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}} \cdot\, dA_g(z')dA_g(z)ds$.

In this part, we only describe the main lines of the proof. The proof of the estimation of each integral is given in the Appendix. The methods are very similar to the ones used to prove Theorem 2.1.

Let $k \geq 1$ and suppose that $\varphi(y,x) = O(y^{-k})$ as $y \to \infty$. Then $\psi = 1 - e^{-2\varphi}$ and $\widetilde{\psi} = e^{2\varphi} - 1$ have the same order of decay as φ. Then for J_1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 = \int_0^t \int_{Z_a} \int_{[1,\frac{4a}{5}]\times S^1} &\psi_2(z)(K_{1,h}(z,z',s) + p_{h,D}(z,z',s))e^{2\varphi(z')} \\ &\psi(z')\Delta_{Z,g}(K_{1,g}(z',z,t-s) + p_{1,D}(z',z,t-s))\ dA_g(z')\ dA_g(z)\ ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

On this region $a \leq y < \infty$ and $1 \leq y' \leq \frac{4a}{5}$. Thus $1 < \frac{5}{4} \leq \frac{y}{y'}$, so $\log(y/y')$ is bounded away from 0. Using the estimates of the heat kernels we obtain:

$$|J_1| \ll ae^{-\frac{c'}{t}},$$

for some constants $c' > 0$.

For J_2, let us use that the variable $z' \in Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}$ to multiply the inside integral by the characteristic function $\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}(z')$. Then, denoting again $1 - e^{2\varphi}$ by ψ we have:

$$J_2 = \int_0^{t/2} \int_{Z_a} \int_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}} \psi_2(z) K_{Z,h}(z,z',s) e^{2\varphi(z')} \\ \chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}(z')\psi(z')\Delta_{Z,g} K_{Z,g}(z',z,t-s) dA_g(z') dA_g(z) ds.$$

Writing this integral in terms of traces of the corresponding operators we infer:

$$|J_2| = \left| \int_0^{t/2} \mathrm{Tr}(M_{\psi_2} e^{-s\Delta_{Z,h}} M_{e^{2\varphi}} M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{Z,g}}) ds \right|$$

$$\ll \int_0^{t/2} \| M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{Z,g}} \|_1 ds = \int_{t/2}^{t} \| M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-s\Delta_{Z,g}} \|_1 ds.$$

To obtain a bound, we use a similar method as before. Let us use the auxiliary function ϕ defined by equation (2.3) with $\beta = 1/2$. Then for the trace norm of the operator $M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-s\Delta_{Z,g}}$ we have that:

$$\| M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-s\Delta_{Z,g}} \|_1 \leq \| M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}} M_\phi^{-1} \|_2 \| M_\phi e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}} \|_2.$$

The terms in the right hand side can be estimated in a similar way as above to obtain:

$$\| M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}} M_\phi^{-1} \|_2 \ll s^{-7/4}(a^{-k} + a^{-k+1/2}).$$

For the operator $M_\phi e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}}$ we have that $\| M_\phi e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}} \|_2 \ll s^{-3/4}$. It follows that:

$$|J_2| \ll \int_{t/2}^{t} s^{-7/4}(a^{-k} + a^{-k+1/2}) \cdot s^{-3/4} ds \ll a^{-k+1/2} t^{-3/2}.$$

Now, for J_3 we proceed in a similar way as for J_2 to obtain:

$$|J_3| \ll \int_{t/2}^{t} a^{-k+1/2} s^{-7/4} s^{-3/4} ds \ll a^{-k+1/2} t^{-3/2},$$

see the Appendix for all the details.

From all the previous equations we obtain, for $0 < t < 1$ that:

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(M_{\psi_2}(T^{-1} e^{-t\Delta_{Z,h}} T - e^{-t\Delta_{Z,g}}))| \ll a^{-k+1/2} t^{-3/2} + a e^{-c'/t} \ll a^{-k+1/2} t^{-3/2}.$$

We know that $t^{-3/2} \geq 1$, thus for $a = t^{-1/9}$, the condition $a^{-k+1/2} t^{-3/2} \leq t^\nu$, for $\nu \geq 1/2$, becomes: $\frac{k}{9} - \frac{1}{18} - \frac{3}{2} \geq \nu$, thus, $k \geq 9\nu + 14$. If $1 \leq a \leq \frac{5}{4}$, then $1 \leq t^{-1/9} \leq \frac{5}{4}$ and $\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^9 \leq t \leq 1$. Since $\mathrm{Tr}(M_{\chi_{Z_a}} M_{\psi_2}(T^{-1} e^{-t\Delta_{1,h}} T - e^{-t\Delta_{1,g}}))$ is continuous on $[\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^9, 1]$ the statement of the Proposition also holds when $1 \leq a \leq \frac{5}{4}$. This finishes the proof of the Proposition. □

Remark 3.7. *To have an expansion up to order two, we need* $k \geq 32$*; and to have an expansion up to order one, we need that* $k \geq 23$*. However, the previous proof also works if we take* $\nu = 1/2$*. In this case, we have an expansion of the form*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}) = a_0 t^{-1} + a_1 + O(\sqrt{t}), \text{ as } t \to 0, \tag{3.12}$$

and we only need to require that $k \geq 19$*.*

Remark 3.8. *In the proof of Proposition 3.5 we took* $a = t^{-1/9}$*, but what we need is that* $a^8 t = t^\kappa$ *for some* $\kappa > 0$*. So we could take* $a = t^{-\delta}$ *with* $0 < \delta < 1/8$*. This will allow us to weaken the decay of the function* φ *at infinity in Proposition 3.6. However, the decay still must satisfy* $k \leq \frac{\nu}{\delta} + \frac{3}{2\delta} + \frac{1}{2}$*. Thus* $k > 8\nu + \frac{25}{2}$*; if* $\nu = 1/2$*, we need* $k > \frac{33}{2}$ *for this to work.*

To compute the coefficients in the expansion (3.2) remember that the coefficients in the local expansion of the heat kernels are given by universal functions. Let us take $\nu = 2$, thus we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}) = \int_M K_h(z,z,t)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K_g(z,z,t)\; dA_g(z) \\
&= \int_M \psi_{t^{-1/9},1}(z) t^{-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2} (a_\ell(h,z)e^{2\varphi(z)} - a_\ell(g,z)) t^\ell \; dA_g(z) + O(t^2) \\
&= \int_{M_{2t^{-1/9}}} \psi_{t^{-1/9},1}(z) \Bigg(\frac{t^{-1}}{4\pi}(e^{2\varphi(z)} - 1) + \frac{1}{12\pi}(K(h,z)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K(g,z)) \\
&\qquad + t(a_2(h,z)e^{2\varphi(z)} - a_2(g,z)) \Bigg) \; dA_g(z) + O(t^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where $K(g,z)$ and $K(h,z)$ denote the Gaussian curvatures corresponding to each metric. So, as $t \to 0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}) \\
&= \frac{t^{-1}}{4\pi} \int_M e^{2\varphi(z)} - 1 \; dA_g(z) + \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_M K(h,z)e^{2\varphi(z)} - K(g,z)\; dA_g(z) \\
&\quad + \; t \int_M a_2(h,z)e^{2\varphi(z)} - a_2(g,z)\; dA_g(z) + O(t^2).
\end{aligned}$$

From Gauss-Bonnet's theorem follows that the constant term in the expansion vanishes. Therefore we finally obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}) &= \frac{t^{-1}}{4\pi}(A_h - A_g) \\
&+ \; t \left(\int_M a_2(h,z) dA_h(z) - \int_M a_2(g,z) dA_g(z) \right) + O(t^2), \text{ as } t \to 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where A_h and A_g denote the area of M with respect to the metrics h and g, respectively. If we use equation (3.12), we have:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_g}) = \frac{t^{-1}}{4\pi}(A_h - A_g) + O(\sqrt{t}), \text{ as } t \to 0, \tag{3.14}$$

3.2. **Expansion for other relative heat traces.** Let us consider again surfaces with several cusps. Let (M, g) be a swc of genus p and with m cusps. Assume that M can be decomposed as $M = M_0 \cup Z_{a_1} \cup \cdots Z_{a_m}$, where $a_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $\bar{\Delta}_{a,0}$ be the direct sum $\oplus_{j=1}^m \Delta_{a_j,0}$ of the Dirichlet Laplacians $\Delta_{a_j,0}$ defined in Definition 1.1.

Proposition 6.4 in [21] establishes that the operator $e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\bar{\Delta}_{a,0}}$ is trace class and its trace has the following asymptotic expansion as $t \to 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\bar{\Delta}_{a,0}}) &= \frac{A_g}{4\pi}t^{-1} + (\frac{\gamma m}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^m \log(a_j))\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \\ &\quad + \frac{m\log(t)}{2\sqrt{4\pi t}} + \frac{\chi(M)}{6} + \frac{m}{4} + O(\sqrt{t})\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

A close examination of the proof of equation (3.15) in [21] shows that the term $\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\log(a_j)}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}$ can be replaced by $e^{-t/4}\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\log(a_j)}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}$.

In particular, we can consider the relative determinant of the pair $(\Delta_g, \bar{\Delta}_{1,0})$. To that purpose we consider the trace $\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\bar{\Delta}_{1,0}})$, where the trace is taken in an extended L^2 space that is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&L^2(M, dA_g) \oplus \oplus_{j=1}^m L^2([1, a_j], y^{-2}dy) \\ &\quad = L^2(M_0, dA_g) \oplus \oplus_{j=1}^m (L_0^2(Z_{a_j}) \oplus L^2([1, \infty), y^{-2}dy)).\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Thus, using Proposition 2.5 and equations (2.7) and (3.15) we obtain the following asymptotic expansion as $t \to 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_g} - e^{-t\bar{\Delta}_{1,0}}) &= \frac{A_g}{4\pi}t^{-1} + \frac{\gamma m}{2\sqrt{4\pi t}} + \frac{m\log(t)}{2\sqrt{4\pi t}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\chi(M)}{6} + \frac{m}{4} + O(\sqrt{t}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

This together with equation (3.14) gives:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\bar{\Delta}_{1,0}}) &= \frac{A_h}{4\pi}t^{-1} + \frac{\gamma m}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \\ &\quad + \frac{m\log(t)}{2\sqrt{4\pi t}} + \frac{\chi(M)}{6} + \frac{m}{4} + O(\sqrt{t}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where the transformation T is the identity in the space $\oplus_{j=1}^m L^2([1, a_j], y^{-2}dy)$.

4. RELATIVE DETERMINANT ON SURFACES WITH ASYMPTOTICALLY CUSP ENDS

The relative determinant on a surface with hyperbolic cusps was already considered by W. Müller in [23]. Therefore, we restrict our attention to the definition and the properties of the relative determinant on asymptotically hyperbolic surfaces.

Let us consider the following set of functions:

$$\mathcal{F}_{19} := \{\psi \in C^\infty(M)|\ \psi(z), |\nabla_g \psi|(z) \text{ and } \Delta_g\psi(z) \\ \text{are } O(i(z)^{-19}) \text{ as } y = i(z) \to \infty\}.$$

Let $h = e^{2\varphi}g$, with $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{19}$. We consider the determinants of the pairs (Δ_h, Δ_g), and $(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})$.

Let us take $m = 1$ and let us fix the notation in equation of the expansion of the trace of the heat kernel, (3.18) above:

$$a_0 = \frac{A_h}{4\pi} \quad a_{10} = \frac{\gamma}{4\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad a_{11} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad a_2 = \frac{\chi(M)}{6} + \frac{1}{4}.$$

For the asymptotic expansion of the relative heat trace for big t, the trace class property together with the fact that $\sigma_{ac}(\Delta_{1,0}) = [1/4, \infty)$ and Lemma 2.22 in [23] give the existence of a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}) = 1 + O(e^{-C_1 t}), \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

where the value 1 in the right hand side comes from $\dim \operatorname{Ker} \Delta_h - \dim \operatorname{Ker} \Delta_{1,0}$ and the trace is taken in $L^2(M, dA_g)$.

Notice that the conditions of Theorem 3.4 with $\nu = 1/2$ suffice to define the relative determinant of $(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})$. The relative zeta function has the following form:

$$\zeta(s; \Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1}(\mathrm{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}) - 1)dt, \tag{4.2}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$. It follows from the asymptotic expansions (3.18) and (4.1) that the function $\zeta(s; \Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})$ has a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane, which we denote again by ζ. To see that there is a meromorphic extension and that it is regular at $s = 0$, write $\zeta(s; \Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})$ as $\zeta_1(s) + \zeta_2(s)$ with

$$\zeta_1(s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1}(\mathrm{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}) - 1)dt, \quad \text{and,}$$
$$\zeta_2(s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^\infty t^{s-1}(\mathrm{Tr}(T^{-1}e^{-t\Delta_h}T - e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}) - 1)dt$$

Then

$$\zeta_2(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^\infty t^{s-1} f(t)dt,$$

where $f(t) = O(e^{-c_1 t})$, as $t \to \infty$, thus the term $\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^\infty t^{s-1} f(t)dt$ is analytic at $s = 0$. As for $\zeta_1(s)$ and $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1(s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1}(a_0 t^{-1} + (a_{10} + a_{11}\log t)t^{-1/2} + a_2 - 1 + \vartheta(t))dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\frac{a_0}{s-1} + \frac{a_{10}}{s-1/2} - \frac{a_{11}}{(s-1/2)^2} + \frac{a_2 - 1}{s} + \vartheta_1(s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta(t) = O(\sqrt{t}))$ and $\vartheta_1(s)$ is a function that is analytic at $s = 0$.

Therefore, we can define the regularized relative determinant of $(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})$ as:

$$\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0}) = \exp\left(-\frac{d}{ds}\zeta(s; \Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})\Big|_{s=0}\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Note that we only need to require that the function φ and its derivatives up to order two, have a decay of order 19 at infinity. The definition of $\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_g)$ is done in the same way.

5. POLYAKOV'S FORMULA FOR THE RELATIVE DETERMINANT. EXTREMALS

In [25] the authors proved that on compact surfaces, with and without boundary and under suitable restrictions, the regularized determinant of the Laplace operator has an extremum. In this section we discuss the generalization of the extremal property of determinants given by OPS to certain cases of surfaces with asymptotically cusp ends. The main tool to study extremal properties of determinants is Polyakov's formula that relates the determinant of a given metric to the determinant of a conformal perturbation of it. The formula obtained here for relative determinants is the same as the one for regularized determinants on compact surfaces given in [25]. The proof of the variational formula and Polyakov's formula follows the main lines of the corresponding proof in [25] but we focus in the technical details that allow us to perform each step in the main proof.

5.1. **Polyakov's formula.** Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}_{19}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, let us define the family of metrics:

$$h_u := e^{2(\varphi+u\psi)}g = e^{2u\psi}h.$$

The associated Laplace operators and area elements are given by the equations:

$$\Delta_u := \Delta_{h_u} = e^{-2u\psi}\Delta_h, \quad dA_u := dA_{h_u} = e^{2u\psi}dA_h.$$

Now, let us consider the family of unitary maps:

$$T_u : L^2(M, dA_u) \to L^2(M, dA_h), f \mapsto fe^{u\psi},$$

and the following functional:

$$F : \mathcal{F}_{19} \to \mathbb{C}, \psi \mapsto F_s(\varphi + u\psi) := \zeta(s; \Delta_u, \Delta_{1,0}),$$

$$\zeta(s; \Delta_u, \Delta_{1,0}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}(\mathrm{Tr}(T_u e^{-t\Delta_u}T_u^{-1} - Te^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}T^{-1}) - 1)dt,$$

where the trace is taken in $L^2(M, dA_h)$. The variation of ζ at φ in the direction of ψ is defined as:

$$\frac{\delta\zeta}{\delta\psi}(s; \Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0}) := \frac{\partial}{\partial u}F_s(\varphi + u\psi)\Big|_{u=0}.$$

Before we proceed with the computation of the derivative in the equation above, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 5.1.

$$\frac{d}{du}\operatorname{Tr}(T_u e^{-t\Delta_u}T_u^{-1} - Te^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}T^{-1})\Big|_{u=0} = -t\operatorname{Tr}(\dot{\Delta}_h e^{-t\Delta_h}),$$

where $\dot{\Delta}_h \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\,\Delta_u\big|_{u=0} = -2\psi\Delta_h$.

Proof. Let $H_u = T_u\Delta_u T_u^{-1}$. Then H_u is a family of self-adjoint operators acting on $L^2(M, dA_h)$. Note that $e^{-tH_u} = T_u e^{-t\Delta_u}T_u^{-1}$. It is also clear that:

$$\frac{d}{du}\operatorname{Tr}(T_u e^{-t\Delta_u}T_u^{-1} - Te^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}T^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{d}{du}e^{-tH_u}\right).$$

Let $u_1, u_2 > 0$, with $u_1 > u_2$. Let us apply Duhamel's principle in terms of the operators:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-tH_{u_1}} - e^{-tH_{u_2}} &= \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(e^{-sH_{u_1}}e^{-(t-s)H_{u_2}}\right)\,ds \\ &= \int_0^t -e^{-sH_{u_1}}H_{u_1}e^{-(t-s)H_{u_2}} + e^{-sH_{u_1}}H_{u_2}e^{-(t-s)H_{u_2}}\,ds. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $u_1 - u_2$ the previous equation and letting $u_2 \to u_1$, we obtain:

$$\frac{d}{du}\,e^{-tH_u}\Big|_{u=u_1} = -\int_0^t e^{-sH_{u_1}}\left(\frac{d}{du}H_u\Big|_{u=u_1}\right)e^{-(t-s)H_{u_1}}\,ds.$$

Therefore we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{du}\operatorname{Tr}(T_u e^{-t\Delta_u}T_u^{-1} - Te^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}T^{-1}) \\ &\qquad = -\int_0^t \operatorname{Tr}(\left(\frac{d}{du}H_u\right)e^{-tH_u})\,ds = -t\operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{H}_u e^{-tH_u}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Let us compute the derivative $\dot{H}_u$:

$$\frac{d}{du}H_u = \psi T_u\Delta_u T_u^{-1} + T_u\left(\frac{d}{du}\Delta_u\right)T_u^{-1} - T_u\Delta_u\psi T_u^{-1}.$$

Thus we get

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{H}_u e^{-tH_u}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\psi\Delta_u e^{-t\Delta_u}\right) + \operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{\Delta}_u e^{-t\Delta_u}\right) - \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Delta_u\psi e^{-t\Delta_u}\right).$$

From the rate of decay assumed for ψ and $\Delta_g\psi$ we have that the operators $\psi e^{-t\Delta_u}$ and $\Delta_u\psi e^{-t\Delta_u}$ are trace class. Using in addition that $e^{-t\Delta_u}\Delta_u$ is bounded for all $t > 0$ we obtain:

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Delta_u\psi e^{-t\Delta_u}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_u}\Delta_u\psi e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_u}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\psi e^{-t\Delta_u}\Delta_u\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\psi\Delta_u e^{-t\Delta_u}\right).$$

In this way we get:

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{H}_u e^{-tH_u}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{\Delta}_u e^{-t\Delta_u}\right) = -2\operatorname{Tr}\left(\psi\Delta_u e^{-t\Delta_u}\right).$$

Taking $u = 0$ in the previous equation together with equation (5.1) implies the statement of the lemma. □

Lemma 5.2. *For any $t > 0$, the operator $\psi e^{-t\Delta_h}$ is trace class.*

Proof. To proof this Lemma we follow the same method as above. Namely, we use the semigroup property of $e^{-t\Delta_h}$ to decompose the operator $\psi e^{-t\Delta_h}$ as

$$\psi e^{-t\Delta_h} = \psi e^{-(t/2)\Delta_h} M_{\phi^{-1}} M_\phi e^{-(t/2)\Delta_h},$$

where ϕ is the function given by equation (2.3) and where M_ϕ denotes the multiplication operator by ϕ. Then, one proves that each of the operators $\psi e^{-t/2\Delta_h} M_{\phi^{-1}}$ and $M_\phi e^{-t/2\Delta_h}$ is HS. □

In this way we have for the variation of the relative zeta function that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\delta\zeta}{\delta\psi}(s;\Delta_h,\Delta_{1,0}) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}\,\frac{d}{du}(\operatorname{Tr}(T_u e^{-t\Delta_u}T_u^{-1} - T e^{-t\Delta_{1,0}}T^{-1}) - 1)\Big|_{u=0} dt \\
&\quad = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^s \operatorname{Tr}((-2\psi\Delta_h e^{-t\Delta_h})dt = \frac{-2}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^s \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\operatorname{Tr}(\psi e^{-t\Delta_h})dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\operatorname{Tr}\psi e^{-t\Delta_h}) &= \int_M \psi(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}K_h(z,z,t)dA_h(z) \\
&= -\int_M \psi(z)\Delta_h K_h(z,z,t)dA_h(z) = -\operatorname{Tr}(\psi\Delta_h e^{-t\Delta_h}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi e^{-t\Delta_h} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ \psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta_h)}),$$

we have that

$$\frac{\delta\zeta}{\delta\psi}(s;\Delta_h,\Delta_{1,0}) = \frac{-2}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^s\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\operatorname{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))dt. \tag{5.2}$$

Before we apply integration by parts in equation (5.2), we need to verify the good decay of $\operatorname{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))$ for big and small values of t. In addition, we need to make sure that we can obtain an expansion of the trace, for small values of t, whose remainder term can be integrated. We accomplish that in the following two lemmas:

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that:*

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta_h)})) = O(e^{-ct}),\ \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let $t > 1$ and let us write:

$$\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta_h)}) = \psi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_h}(e^{-(t-\frac{1}{2})\Delta_h} - P_{\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta_h)}),$$

where we used that $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_h} P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)} = P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}$. Now, by Lemma 5.2 we have that $\psi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_h}$ is trace class. On the other hand, using the spectral theorem we have for $f \in L^2(M, dA_h)$:

$$e^{-t\Delta_h} f - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)} f = e^{-t(\Delta_h - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)})} f.$$

Note that $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\Delta_h) = [1/4, \infty)$ implies that 0 is an isolated eigenvalue of Δ_h and $\sigma(\Delta_h - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}) \subseteq [c_1, \infty)$ for some $c_1 \in (0, 1/4]$. Thus

$$\| e^{-t(\Delta_h - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)})} \|_{L^2(M,h)} \leq e^{-c_1 t}$$

for any $t > 0$. If $t > 1$, $t - \frac{1}{2} > 0$ and for the trace we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))| &\leq \| \psi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_h} (e^{-(t-\frac{1}{2})\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}) \|_1 \\ &\leq \| \psi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_h} \|_1 \| e^{-(t-\frac{1}{2})(\Delta_h - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)})} \|_{L^2(M,h)} \ll e^{-c_1 t}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 5.3. □

Lemma 5.4. *For* $0 < t \leq 1$ *the trace of the operator* $\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)})$ *has the following expansion:*

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)})) = \int_M \psi(z) \left(\frac{1}{4\pi t} + \frac{K_h(z)}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h} \right) dA_h + O(t)$$

as $t \to 0$.

Proof. In order to prove Lemma 5.4 we use a method similar to the one used in Section 3.1 to prove the existence of the expansion of the relative heat trace $\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_h} - e^{-t\Delta_g})$ for small t. We start by considering the parametrix kernel $Q_h(z, z', t)$ defined by equation (3.1):

$$Q_h(z, w, t) = \varphi_1(z) K_{W,h}(z, w, t) \psi_1(w) + \varphi_2(z) K_{1,h}(z, w, t) \psi_2(w),$$

where the functions φ_i and ψ_i, $i = 1, 2$, are defined in Section 3.1. From Lemma 3.3, we can restrict our attention to $\int_M \psi(z)(Q_h(z, z, t) - \frac{1}{A_h}) dA_h(z)$ and split the integral as the sum of the following two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(t) &= \int_{M_2} \psi(z)\psi_1(z)(K_{W,h}(z, z, t) - \frac{1}{A_h}) dA_h(z) \\ L_2(t) &= \int_{Z_{\frac{5}{4}}} \psi(z)\psi_2(z)(K_{1,h}(z, z, t) - \frac{1}{A_h}) dA_h(z). \end{aligned}$$

Using the asymptotic expansion of the kernel $K_{W,h}(z, z, t)$ we obtain:

$$L_1(t) = \int_{M_2} \psi(z)\psi_1(z) \left(\frac{1}{4\pi t} + \frac{K_h(z)}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h} + R_1(z, t) \right) dA_h(z). \tag{5.3}$$

For $L_2(t)$, we use the same construction and notation as in the proof of Proposition 3.5. Now, let $a > 5/4$ and let us split the integral $L_2(t)$ as the sum $L_2 = \widetilde{J}_1(t) + \widetilde{J}_2(t) + \widetilde{J}_3(t)$, where the $\widetilde{J}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are given by:

$$\widetilde{J}_1(t) = \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\psi}(\widetilde{z})\hat{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z})(k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t) - \frac{1}{A_h})dA_{\hat{h}}(\widetilde{z}),$$

$$\widetilde{J}_2(t) = \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{a} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\psi}(\widetilde{z})\hat{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) \sum_{m \neq 0} k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z} + m, t)dA_{\hat{h}}(\widetilde{z}),$$

$$\widetilde{J}_3(t) = \int_{a}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\psi}(\widetilde{z})\hat{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) \sum_{m \neq 0} k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z} + m, t)dA_{\hat{h}}(\widetilde{z}).$$

For $\widetilde{J}_1$ we use the local asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel $k_h(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}, t)$, whose remainder term is uniformly bounded, see [9]:

$$\widetilde{J}_1(t) = \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\psi}(\widetilde{z})\hat{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) \left(\frac{1}{4\pi t} + \frac{K_{\hat{h}}(\widetilde{z})}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h} + R_{1,1}(\widetilde{z}, t) \right) dA_{\hat{h}}(\widetilde{z}) \tag{5.4}$$

For $\widetilde{J}_2(t)$, in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we can estimate the series as in equation (3.8). Then using equation (3.9) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{J}_2(t) &\ll \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{a} y^{-19} e^{-\frac{c_2}{a^8 t}} \sum_{m \neq 0} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+\frac{m^2}{2a^2})^2}{2t}} \frac{dy}{y^2} \\ &\ll e^{-\frac{c_2}{a^8 t}} \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{a} y^{-19} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\frac{c_1 \log(1+\frac{u^2}{2a^2})^2}{2t}} du \, \frac{dy}{y^2} \ll a e^{-\frac{c_2}{a^8 t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Now, for $\widetilde{J}_3$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{J}_3(t) &\leq \int_{Z_a} \psi(z)\psi_2(z)K_{1,h}(z, z, t)dA_h(z) \\ &\ll \int_a^{\infty} t^{-1}y^{-19}y\frac{dy}{y^2} = t^{-1}\int_a^{\infty} y^{-20}dy \ll t^{-1}a^{-19}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we take $a = t^{-1/9}$. Then putting equations (5.3) (5.4) (5.5) and (5.6) together we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)})) &= \int_M \psi(z)(K_h(z, z, t) - \frac{1}{A_h})dA_h(z) \\ &= \int_{M_2} \psi(z)\psi_1(z) \left(\frac{1}{4\pi t} + \frac{K_h(z)}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h} + R_1(z, t) \right) dA_h(z) \\ + \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\psi}(\widetilde{z})\hat{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z}) &\left(\frac{1}{4\pi t} + \frac{K_{\hat{h}}(\widetilde{z})}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h} + R_{1,1}(\widetilde{z}, t) \right) dA_{\hat{h}}(\widetilde{z}) + O(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $O(t)$ is clearly independent of z. Now we know that $|R_1(z, t)| \ll t$ and $|R_{1,1}(\widetilde{z}, t)| \ll t$ uniformly in z. Therefore we can make the following estimate:

$$\int_{M_2} \psi(z)\psi_1(z)R_1(z,t)dA_h(z) + \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^{\infty}\int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\psi}(\widetilde{z})\hat{\psi}_2(\widetilde{z})R_{1,1}(\widetilde{z},t)dA_{\hat{h}}(\widetilde{z}) \ll t.$$

In this way we conclude that:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)})) = \int_M \psi(z)\left(\frac{1}{4\pi t} + \frac{K_h(z)}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h}\right) dA_h(z) + O(t).$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 5.4. $\square$

Going back to the variation of the relative zeta function, we can apply integration by parts in equation (5.2) to obtain for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$:

$$\frac{\delta\zeta}{\delta\psi}(s;\Delta_h,\Delta_{1,0}) = \frac{2s}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))dt.$$

Let us now split this integral as:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta\zeta}{\delta\psi}(s;\Delta_h,\Delta_{1,0}) = \frac{2s}{\Gamma(s)}\Bigg(&\int_0^{1} t^{s-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))dt \\ &+ \int_1^{\infty} t^{s-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))dt\Bigg)\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

and let us study each term separately.

For the second term on the right hand side in equation (5.7), it follows from Lemma 5.3 that $\int_1^{\infty} t^{s-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))dt$ is an entire function of s. Since $\Gamma(s)^{-1} \sim s$, we infer

$$\frac{d}{ds}\,\frac{2s}{\Gamma(s)}\int_1^{\infty} t^{s-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))dt\,\Big|_{s=0} = 0$$

For the first term on the right hand side of (5.7), we use Lemma 5.4. Thus, for $Re(s) > 1$ and taking the corresponding extensions, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{2s}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^{1} t^{s-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))dt \\ &\quad= \frac{2s}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^{1} t^{s-1}\left\{\int_M \psi(z)\left(\frac{1}{4\pi t} + \frac{K_h(z)}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h}\right) dA_h + O(t)\right\}\, dt \\ &\qquad= \frac{2s}{\Gamma(s)}\left\{\frac{1}{s}\int_M \psi(z)(\frac{K_h(z)}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h})dA_h + \text{ analytic in } s \text{ near } 0\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

We consider now the derivative with respect to s at $s = 0$. We first take into account that $\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = s + O(s^2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{ds}\frac{2s}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^1 t^{s-1}\operatorname{Tr}(\psi(e^{-t\Delta_h} - P_{\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta_h)}))dt\Big|_{s=0} \\ &\qquad = \frac{d}{ds}\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\left(\int_M 2\psi(z)(\frac{K_\varphi(z)}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h})dA_h\ + (\widetilde{a}_1 s + \dots)\right)\Big|_{s=0} \\ &\qquad\qquad = \int_M 2\psi(z)\left(\frac{K_h(z)}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A_h}\right)dA_h. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta\psi}\log\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0}) &= -\frac{\delta}{\delta\psi}\frac{d}{ds}\zeta(s;\Delta_h,\Delta_0)\big|_{s=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{6\pi}\int_M \psi(z)(\Delta_g\varphi + K_g)dA_g + \frac{1}{A_h}\int_M 2\psi e^{2\varphi}dA_g \\ &= -\frac{1}{6\pi}\int_M \psi(\Delta_g\varphi + K_g)\ dA_g + \frac{\delta}{\delta\psi}\log A_h. \end{aligned}$$

Using that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\int_M |\nabla_g(\varphi + u\psi)|^2\ dA_g\bigg|_{u=0} &= \langle\psi, \Delta_g\varphi\rangle, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\int_M K_g\ (\varphi + u\psi)\ dA_g\bigg|_{u=0} &= \int_M K_g\ \psi\ dA_g, \end{aligned}$$

for all ψ in the domain of F we obtain:

$$\log\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0}) = -\frac{1}{12\pi}\int_M |\nabla_g\varphi|^2\ dA_g - \frac{1}{6\pi}\int_M K_g\ \varphi\ dA_g + \log A_h + C.$$

Notice that if $\varphi = 0$ we have $\Delta_h = \Delta_g$ and from the previous equation we obtain $C = \log\det(\Delta_g, \Delta_{1,0})$. In this way, we have proved Polyakov's formula:

Theorem 5.5. *Let (M, g) be a surface with cusps and let $h = e^{2\varphi}g$ be a conformal transformation of g with $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{19}$. For the corresponding relative determinants we have the following formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} \log\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0}) = &-\frac{1}{12\pi}\int_M |\nabla_g\varphi|^2\ dA_g - \frac{1}{6\pi}\int_M K_g\ \varphi\ dA_g \\ &+ \log A_h + \log\det(\Delta_g, \Delta_{1,0}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

5.2. **Extremal properties of the relative determinant.** Given Polyakov's formula for the relative determinant, the study of the extremal properties of it is exactly the same as in OPS [25] for the case when $\chi(M) < 0$. We assume now that $\chi(M) < 0$. Let us recall the analysis in [25] as we adapt it to our case. On $\mathcal{F}_{19}$ consider the following functional:

$$\Phi(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M |\nabla_g \varphi|^2 \, dA_g + \int_M K_g \, \varphi \, dA_g - \pi\chi(M) \log\left(\int_M e^{2\varphi} dA_g\right). \tag{5.9}$$

It is straightforward that Φ is translation invariant and that minimizing Φ is the same as maximizing $\log\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0})$ for metrics of constant area. Since we are considering $\chi(M) < 0$, we have that Φ is convex. In the same way as in [25], we have that

$$\Phi(\varphi) = -6\pi \log\det(\Delta_h, \Delta_{1,0}) + \pi(6 - \chi(M))\log(A_h).$$

Let us analyze the functional without requiring the constraint $A_h = 1$. We assume that φ minimizes Φ. Then $\frac{\delta\Phi}{\delta\psi}(\varphi) = 0$ holds for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{19}$. The equation $\frac{\delta\Phi}{\delta\psi}(\varphi) = 0$ implies that

$$K_h = e^{-2\varphi}(\Delta_g \varphi + K_g) = \frac{2\pi\chi(M)}{\int_M e^{2\varphi} dA_g},$$

i.e. K_h is constant. If $A_h = 2\pi(2p + m - 2)$, it follows that $K_h = -1$, where p is the genus of M and m is the number of cusps.

On the other hand if $K_h =$ constant we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta\Phi}{\delta\psi}(\varphi) &= \int_M e^{2\varphi}\psi K_h dA_g - \frac{\pi\chi(M)}{A_h}\int_M 2\psi e^{2\varphi} dA_g \\ &= \int_M \frac{e^{2\varphi}\psi}{A_h}(K_h A_h - 2\pi\chi(M)) dA_g = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because of Gauss-Bonnet theorem. Thus, the critical points of Φ are the metrics of constant curvature. The convexity of Φ assures that the critical points are minima.

Our problem is to find a maximizer of the relative determinant among metrics inside the following conformal class:

$$\mathrm{Conf}_{1,19}(g) = \{h | h = e^{2\psi} g, \text{ with } \psi \in \mathcal{F}_{19} \text{ and } A_h = 2\pi(2p + m - 2)\}.$$

If we start with a metric τ on M of negative constant curvature $K_\tau = -1$, and we take the conformal class $\mathrm{Conf}_{1,19}(\tau)$, τ itself is the maximizer and $\tau \in \mathrm{Conf}_{1,19}(\tau)$. The maximizer trivially exists inside the conformal class. However, if we start with a general metric g on M that is hyperbolic only in the cusps, the differential equation for the curvature on the cusps is:

$$-e^{2\varphi} = \Delta_g \varphi - 1.$$

This implies that in the cusps the function φ should decay as y^{-1}. In this case the function φ is outside the conformal class under consideration. Therefore in order to have a maximizer of the relative determinant inside the conformal class we need to be able to define the determinant for Laplacians whose metrics have conformal factors $e^{2\varphi}$ with φ having a decay of y^{-1} at infinity.

6. Appendix

Proof of the bounds of the integrals J_1, J_2 and J_3 in Proposition 3.6
For J_1 we had in equation (3.11):

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 = \int_0^t \int_{Z_a} \int_{[1,\frac{4a}{5}]\times S^1} & \psi_2(z)(K_{1,h}(z,z',s) + p_{h,D}(z,z',s))e^{2\varphi(z')} \\ & \psi(z')\Delta_{Z,g}(K_{1,g}(z',z,t-s) + p_{1,D}(z',z,t-s)) \; dA_g(z') \; dA_g(z) \; ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that on this region $a \leq y < \infty$ and $1 \leq y' \leq \frac{4a}{5}$, $\log(y/y')$ is bounded away from zero. Using the estimates on the heat kernels and their derivatives we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1| \ll & \int_0^t \int_a^\infty \int_1^{\frac{4a}{5}} s^{-1}(t-s)^{-2} y (e^{-\frac{c\log(y/y')^2}{s}} + e^{-\frac{c\log(y)^2}{s}} e^{-\frac{c\log(y')^2}{s}}) \\ & \qquad y'^{-k+1}(e^{-\frac{c\log(y/y')^2}{t-s}} + e^{-\frac{c\log(y)^2}{t-s}} e^{-\frac{c\log(y')^2}{t-s}}) \frac{dy'}{y'^2}\frac{dy}{y^2} ds \\ & \ll at^{-2}\int_0^{t/2}\int_a^\infty s^{-1}y^{-1}(e^{-\frac{c\log(5y/4a)^2}{s}} + e^{-\frac{c\log(y)^2}{s}})dyds \\ & \quad + at^{-1}\int_{t/2}^t \int_a^\infty (t-s)^{-2}y^{-1}(e^{-\frac{c\log(5y/4a)^2}{t-s}} + e^{-\frac{c\log(y)^2}{t-s}})dyds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y \geq a > \frac{5}{4}$ we have an estimate in s:

$$e^{-\frac{c\log(5y/4a)^2}{s}} + e^{-\frac{c\log(y)^2}{s}} \leq e^{-\frac{c\log(5/4)^2}{2s}}(e^{-\frac{c\log(5y/4a)^2}{2s}} + e^{-\frac{c\log(y)^2}{2s}})$$

and $\int_a^\infty y^{-1}e^{-\frac{c\log(5y/4a)^2}{2s}}dy = \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^\infty v^{-1}e^{-\frac{c\log(v)^2}{2s}}dv \ll \sqrt{s}$. We get a similar estimate for $t-s$, and together these give:

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1| \ll & \, at^{-2}\int_0^{t/2} s^{-1}e^{-\frac{c\log(5/4)^2}{2s}} \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^\infty y^{-1}e^{-\frac{c\log(y)^2}{2s}}dyds \\ & + at^{-1}\int_{t/2}^t (t-s)^{-2}e^{-\frac{c\log(5/4)^2}{2(t-s)}} \int_{\frac{5}{4}}^\infty y^{-1}e^{-\frac{c\log(y)^2}{2(t-s)}}dyds \\ & \ll at^{-2}\int_0^{t/2} s^{-1/2}e^{-\frac{c\log(5/4)^2}{2s}}ds + at^{-1}\int_{t/2}^t (t-s)^{-3/2}e^{-\frac{c\log(5/4)^2}{2(t-s)}}ds \\ & \ll at^{-2}e^{-\frac{c\log(5/4)^2}{4t}}\int_0^{t/2}ds + at^{-1}e^{-\frac{c\log(5/4)^2}{2t}}\int_{t/2}^t ds \ll a(t^{-1}+1)e^{c_1/t} \ll ae^{-\frac{c'}{t}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $c_1, c' > 0$, where we also used part (4) of Lemma 2.3.

For J_2, we had reduced the problem to the following estimate:

$$|J_2| \ll \int_{t/2}^{t} \|M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}} M_\phi^{-1}\|_2 \|M_\phi e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}}\|_2 ds.$$

Now we proceed to estimate each of the HS norms appearing as integrand on the right hand side as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}} M_\phi^{-1}\|_2^2 \\
&\quad = \int_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}} \int_Z |\psi(z)\Delta_{Z,g} K_{Z,g}(z,z',s/2)\phi(z')^{-1}|^2 dA_g(z') dA_g(z) \\
&\quad \ll \int_{\frac{4a}{5}}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} y^{-2k} y y' s^{-4} (e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(y/y'))^2} + e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(yy'))^2}) y' \frac{dy'}{y'^2} \frac{dy}{y^2} \\
&\quad = s^{-4} \int_{\frac{4a}{5}}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} y^{-2k-1} e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(y'/y))^2}\ dy' dy \\
&\qquad\qquad + s^{-4} \int_{\frac{4a}{5}}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} y^{-2k-1} e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(y'))^2}\ dy' dy.
\end{aligned}$$

The first integral in the last line above can be estimated by fixing y and making the change of variables $v = \log(y'/y)$, $y' = ye^v$, $dy' = ye^v dv$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&s^{-4} \int_{\frac{4a}{5}}^{\infty} \int_{-\log(y)}^{\infty} y^{-2k} e^v e^{\frac{-4c}{s}v^2}\ dv\ dy \\
&\qquad\qquad \ll s^{-4} e^{\frac{s}{4c}} \sqrt{s} \int_{\frac{4a}{5}}^{\infty} y^{-2k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-v^2}\ dv\ dy \ll s^{-7/2} a^{-2k+1} e^{\frac{s}{4c}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the second integral, we obtain in a similar way:

$$s^{-4} \int_{\frac{4a}{5}}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} y^{-2k-1} e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(y'))^2}\ dy'\ dy \ll s^{-7/2} e^{\frac{s}{4c}} a^{-2k}.$$

Thus,

$$\|M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} M_\psi \Delta_{Z,g} e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}} M_\phi^{-1}\|_2 \ll s^{-7/4}(a^{-k} + a^{-k+1/2}).$$

For the operator $M_\phi e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}}$, using equation (2.5) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|M_\phi e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}}\|_2^2 \\
&\ll \int_1^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} s^{-2} y^{-1} y y' (e^{-\frac{2c}{s}(\log(y/y'))^2} + e^{-\frac{2c}{s}(\log(yy'))^2})^2 \frac{dy'}{y'^2} \frac{dy}{y^2} \\
&\ll \int_1^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} s^{-2} y'^{-1} y^{-2} (e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(y/y'))^2} + e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(yy'))^2}) dy' dy \\
&\ll s^{-2}\sqrt{s} e^{s/4c} + s^{-2} \int_1^{\infty} y'^{-1} e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(y'))^2} dy' \ll s^{-3/2}(1 + e^{s/4c}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $s \leq t \leq 1$ we have that $\|M_\phi e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}}\|_2 \ll s^{-3/4}$. It follows that:

$$|J_2| \ll \int_{t/2}^{t} s^{-7/4}(a^{-k} + a^{-k+1/2}) \cdot s^{-3/4} ds \ll a^{-k+1/2} t^{-3/2}.$$

Now, for J_3 we have:

$$J_3 = \int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{Z_a} \int_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}} \psi_2(z) K_{Z,h}(z,z',s) e^{2\varphi(z')} \chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}(z') \\ (\Delta_{Z,g} - \Delta_{Z,h})_{z'} K_{Z,g}(z',z,t-s) dA_g(z') dA_g(z) ds.$$

Remember that $\Delta_{Z,g} - \Delta_{Z,h} = (e^{2\varphi(z')} - 1)\Delta_{Z,h} = \widetilde{\psi}(z')\Delta_{Z,h}$, so the previous equation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 = &\int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{Z_a} \int_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}} \{\psi_2(z) K_{Z,h}(z,z',s) \chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}(z') \widetilde{\psi}(z') \\ &\quad (\Delta_{Z,h} K_{Z,g}(z',z,t-s)) e^{-2\varphi(z)}\}\ dA_h(z')\ dA_h(z)\ ds \\ = &\int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{Z_a} \int_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}} \{\psi_2(z)(\Delta_{Z,h} K_{Z,h}(z,z',s)\widetilde{\psi}(z')) \chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}(z') \\ &\quad K_{Z,g}(z',z,t-s) e^{-2\varphi(z)}\}\ dA_h(z')\ dA_h(z)\ ds \\ = &\int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{Z_a} \int_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}} \{\psi_2(z) e^{-2\varphi(z)} K_{Z,g}(z,z',t-s) \chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}(z') \\ &\quad (\Delta_{Z,h} \widetilde{\psi}(z') K_{Z,h}(z',z,s))\}\ dA_h(z')\ dA_h(z)\ ds. \end{aligned}$$

Writing this in terms of the corresponding operators we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &= \int_{t/2}^{t} \mathrm{Tr}(M_{\psi_2} M_{e^{-2\varphi}} e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{Z,g}} M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} \Delta_{Z,h} M_{\widetilde{\psi}} e^{-s\Delta_{Z,h}}) ds, \\ |J_3| &\leq \int_{t/2}^{t} \|M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} \Delta_{Z,h} M_{\widetilde{\psi}} e^{-s\Delta_{Z,h}}\|_1\ ds. \end{aligned}$$

We are now working in $L^2(M, dA_h)$ therefore to simplify notation we do not write the subindex h in the trace and the HS norms. In the same way as above we do:

$$\|M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} \Delta_{Z,h} M_{\widetilde{\psi}} e^{-s\Delta_{Z,h}}\|_1 \\ \leq \|M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} \Delta_{Z,h} M_{\widetilde{\psi}} e^{-s\Delta_{Z,h}/2} M_{\phi^{-1}}\|_2 \|M_\phi e^{-s\Delta_{Z,h}/2}\|_2$$

The kernel of the operator $M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}} \Delta_{Z,h} M_{\widetilde{\psi}} e^{-s\Delta_{Z,h}/2} M_{\phi^{-1}}$ is

$$\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}(z')(\Delta_{Z,h}(\widetilde{\psi}(z') K_{Z,h}(z',z,s)) \phi(z)^{-1}.$$

Using the decay assumptions on φ and its derivatives, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}|\Delta_{Z,h}(\widetilde{\psi}K_{Z,h})|^2 &\ll |\widetilde{\psi}\Delta_{Z,h}K_{Z,h}|^2 + |K_{Z,h}\Delta_{Z,h}\widetilde{\psi}|^2 + 2|\langle\nabla\widetilde{\psi},\nabla K_{Z,h}\rangle|^2\\ &\ll y'^{-2k+1}y(s^{-4}+s^{-2}+s^{-3})(e^{-\frac{c}{s}(\log(y/y'))^2}+e^{-\frac{c}{s}(\log(yy'))^2})^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since for $0 < s < 1$ we have that $s^{-4}+s^{-2}+s^{-3} \ll s^{-4}$, we can estimate the HS norm by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|M_{\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}}\Delta_{Z,h}M_{\widetilde{\psi}}e^{-s\Delta_{Z,h}/2}M_{\phi^{-1}}\|_2^2\\ &\quad= \int_Z\int_Z |\chi_{Z_{\frac{4a}{5}}}(z')\widetilde{\psi}(z')\Delta_{h,z'}K_h(z',z,s/2)\phi(z)^{-1}|^2 dA_h(z')dA_h(z)\\ &\quad\ll s^{-4}\int_1^\infty\int_{\frac{4a}{5}}^\infty y^2\ y'^{-2k+1}(e^{-\frac{2c}{s}(\log(y/y'))^2}+e^{-\frac{2c}{s}(\log(yy'))^2})^2\frac{dy'}{y'^2}\frac{dy}{y^2}\\ &\quad\ll s^{-4}\int_{\frac{4a}{5}}^\infty\int_1^\infty (y'^{-2k-1}e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(y/y'))^2}+y'^{-2k-1}e^{-\frac{4c}{s}(\log(y))^2})\ dy\ dy'\\ &\quad\ll (a^{-2k+1}+a^{-2k})s^{-7/2}e^{s/4c} \ll a^{-2k+1}s^{-7/2}.\end{aligned}$$

We finally obtain:

$$\|M_\phi^{-1}e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,h}}\widetilde{\psi}\Delta_h\|_2 \le a^{-k+1/2}s^{-7/4}.$$

For the operator $e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,h}}M_\phi$, the proof goes in the same way as for the operator $M_\phi e^{-s/2\Delta_{Z,g}}$. At the end we obtain:

$$\|e^{-s\Delta_{Z,h}}M_\phi\|_2 = \left(\int_Z\int_Z |K_{Z,h}(z,z',s/2)\phi(z')|^2 dA_h(z')dA_h(z)\right)^{1/2} \ll s^{-3/4}.$$

In this way:

$$|J_3| \ll \int_{t/2}^t a^{-k+1/2}s^{-7/4}s^{-3/4}ds \ll a^{-k+1/2}t^{-3/2}.$$

References

[1] P. Albin, C.L. Aldana, F. Rochon, *Ricci flow and the determinant of the Laplacian on non-compact surfaces.* ArXiv:0909.0807.

[2] C.L. Aldana, *Inverse spectral theory and relative determinants of elliptic operators on surfaces with cusps*, Ph.D. dissertation, Bonner Math. Schriften , Univ. Bonn, Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 2009.

[3] U. Bunke, *Relative Index Theory.* Journal of Functional Analysis 105, 63-76 (1992).

[4] M. Sh. Birman and M. G. Krejn, *On the theory of wave operators and scattering operators.* (English. Russian original) Sov. Math., Dokl. 3, 740-744 (1962).

[5] M. Sh. Birman and D. R. Yafaev, *The spectral shift function. The work of M. G. Krein and its further development.* St. Petersburg Math. J. Vol. 4 (1993), No. 5. 833-870.

[6] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon and E. Mazet, *Le Spectre d'une Variété Riemanniene.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 194 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1971.

[7] H. S. Carslaw and J. C. Jaeger, *Conduction of heat in solids.* Second Edition. Oxford, 1959.

[8] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry.* Academic Press, 1984.

[9] J. Cheeger, M. Gromov and M. Taylor, *Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds.* J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), no. 1, 15–53.

[10] S. Y. Cheng, P. Li and S. T. Yau, *On the Upper Estimate of the Heat Kernel of a Complete Riemannian Manifold*, Am. J. Math., Vol. 103, No. 5. (1981), pp. 1021-1063.

[11] Y. Colin de Verdière, *Une nouvelle démonstration du prolongement méromorphe des séries d'Eisenstein.* C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sr. I 293, 361-363 (1981).

[12] E. B. Davies, *Pointwise bounds on the space and time derivatives of heat kernels.* J. Operator Theory 21 (1989), 367-378.

[13] H. Donnelly, *Essential Spectrum and Heat Kernel.* Journal of Functional Analysis 75, 362-381 (1987).

[14] J. Dodziuk, *Maximum principle for parabolic inequalities and the heat flow on open manifolds.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 32 (1983), no. 5, 703–716.

[15] P. Gilkey, *The spectral geometry of a Riemannian manifold.* J. Differential Geom. Volume 10, Number 4 (1975), 601-618.

[16] J. Jorgenson and R. Lundelius, *A regularized heat trace for hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume.* Comment. Math. Helv. 72, 636-659, (1997).

[17] R. Lundelius, *Asymptotics of the determinant of the Laplacian on hyperbolic surfaces of finite volume.* Duke Math. J. 71 (1993), no. 1, 211-242.

[18] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators.* New York: Springer, 1980.

[19] H. H. Khuri, *Heights on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with circle boundaries.*. Duke Math. J. Vol. 64, No. 3 (Dec. 1991), 555-570.

[20] H. B. Lawson and M. L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry.* Princeton Mathematical Series, 38. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

[21] W. Müller, *Spectral theory for Riemannian manifolds with cusps and a related trace formula.* Math. Nachr. 111 (1983) 197-288.

[22] W. Müller, *Spectral geometry and scattering theory for certain complete surfaces of finite volume.* Invent. math. 109, 265-303. (1992).

[23] W. Müller, *Relative zeta functions, relative determinants, and scattering theory.* Comm. Math. Physics 192 (1998), 309-347.

[24] W. Müller and G. Salomonsen, *Scattering theory for the Laplacian on manifolds with bounded curvature.* 2006.

[25] B. Osgood, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, *Extremal of Determinants of Laplacians.* Journ. Funct. Analysis 80, 148-211, 1988.

[26] B. Osgood, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, *Moduli Space, Heights and Isospectral sets of Plane Domains.* Annals of Mathematics, 2nd Ser., VOl. 129, No. 2. (Mar., 1989), 293-362.

[27] P. Sarnak, *Extremal Geometries. Extremal Riemann surfaces* (San Francisco, CA, 1995), 1-7, Contemp. Math., 201, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[28] R. T. Seeley, *Complex powers of an elliptic operator* Singular Integrals (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Chicago, Ill., 1996) pp. 288-307. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.

[29] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian Manifolds.* Advances in Mathematics 7, 145-210 (1971).

[30] K. Richardson, *Critical points of the determinant of the Laplace operator.* J. Funct. Anal. 122 (1994), no. 1, 52–83.

[31] M. E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations I. Basic theory.* Applied Mathematical Sciences, 115. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[32] M. E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations II. Qualitative studies of linear equations* Applied Mathematical Sciences, 116. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[33] B. Vaillant, *Index and spectral theory for manifolds with generalized fibred cusps*, Ph.D. dissertation, Bonner Math. Schriften 344, Univ. Bonn, Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 2001.

Department of Mathematics, Universidad de los Andes
E-mail address: `clara.aldana@gmail.com`